# THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ZEROS IN THE POWERS OF AN INDECOMPOSABLE MATRIX 

By Marvin Marcus and Frank May

I. Introduction. Let $A$ be an $n$-square matrix with complex entries. $A$ is called decomposable if there is a permutation matrix $P$ such that $P A P^{T}$ is a subdirect sum. Otherwise $A$ is called indecomposable.

In a recent conversation Dr. Seymour Haber posed the following question. Given an $n$-square indecomposable matrix $A$ with complex entries, how many fixed positions ( $i, j$ ), $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, can be zero in every positive integral power of $A$ ? This problem has significance in certain combinatorial problems and, as will subsequently be shown in case $A$ is normal, reduces to a familiar kind of question: namely, given an integral matrix $H$ what kinds of 0,1 matrices $B$ (if any) exist satisfying $B B^{T}=H$ ?

We remark that in order to check whether $\left(A^{k}\right)_{i j}=0, i \neq j, k=1,2, \cdots$, it suffices to examine $A, A^{2}, \cdots, A^{n-1}$ (Cayley-Hamilton).

As an example, let $A$ be indecomposable, with non-negative entries, and positive trace. If $m \geq 2 n-2$, then each entry of $A^{m}$ is positive [1]. On the other hand, if $P_{n}=\left(p_{i i}\right)$ denotes the $n$-square full cycle permutation matrix defined by

$$
p_{i 1}=\delta_{i n}, \quad p_{i j}=\delta_{i+1, i} \quad \text { if } \quad j>1,
$$

then the $(i, j)$ entry of $\left(P_{n}\right)^{k}$ is both zero and one for infinitely many values of $k$. Of course, $P_{n}$ is indecomposable.

In general, the question seems difficult to answer. However, in case $A$ is an indecomposable normal matrix with distinct eigenvalues, our main result yields a realistic upper bound for the number of fixed positions that can be zero in every positive integral power of $A$ (Theorem 4).
II. The combinatorial problem. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{t}$ be $n$-vectors, and denote by $\left\langle x_{1}, \cdots, x_{t}\right\rangle$ the space spanned by $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{t}$. If $A x_{i} \varepsilon\left\langle x_{1}, \cdots, x_{t}\right\rangle, i=$ $1, \cdots, t$, then $\left\langle x_{1}, \cdots, x_{t}\right\rangle$ is called an invariant subspace under $A$. We put $\epsilon_{\alpha}=\left(\delta_{\alpha 1}, \delta_{\alpha 2}, \cdots, \delta_{\alpha n}\right), \alpha=1, \cdots, n$.

We have immediately from the definition the
Lemma. $A$ is decomposable if and only if for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq n,\left\langle\epsilon_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \epsilon_{i_{k}}\right\rangle$ is an invariant subspace under $A$.

If $A$ is normal, then $A^{*}$, the conjugate transpose of $A$, is a polynomial in $A$. Denote by $Z(A)$ the set of positions $(i, j), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, for which $\left(A^{k}\right)_{i j}=0$, $k=1,2, \cdots$. When $A$ is normal, we see that for $i \neq j,(i, j) \varepsilon Z(A)$ if and
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