## GENERALIZED KUMMER CONGRUENCES FOR PRODUCTS OF SEQUENCES

## By HARLAN STEVENS

1. Introduction. If p is any fixed rational prime, then in this paper we shall always let  $R_p$  denote the set of rational numbers that are integral (mod p).

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of numbers in  $R_p$  that satisfy the congruence

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s} {\binom{r}{s}} \lambda_{1}^{r-s} a(m+s(p^{t_{1}}-1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r}}$$

for all  $m \ge r \ge 1$  and for some positive integer  $t_1$ . The multiplier  $\lambda_1$  is also in  $R_p$  and  $a_n = a(n)$ . We shall call (1.1) Kummer's congruence for  $\{a_n\}$ . For example, Nielsen [8, Chapter 14] shows that in case p > 2,  $t_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , formula (1.1) holds for  $a_n = E_n$ , the Euler numbers in the even suffix notation. If  $\{b_n\}$  is a second sequence of numbers in  $R_p$  that satisfy

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s} {\binom{r}{s}} \lambda_{2}^{r-s} b(m+s(p^{t_{2}}-1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r}}$$

for all  $m \ge r \ge 1$  and for some positive integer  $t_2$ , then a natural way to form a composition sequence is by means of the Hurwitz product. Put

(1.3) 
$$c_n = \sum_{j=0}^n {n \choose j} a_j b_{n-j} \qquad (n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots).$$

We shall call the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  the Hurwitz product of the sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$ . In the special case  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ , Carlitz [5] has proved the following result: if  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$  and t is the least positive integer such that

$$\lambda_1^t \equiv \lambda_2^t \equiv k \pmod{p}$$

for some k, then

(1.4) 
$$\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s} {\binom{r}{s}} k^{r-s} c(m+s(p^{t}-1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r}}$$

for all  $m \ge r \ge 1$ , where  $\{c_n\}$  is defined by (1.3). More generally he has shown that

(1.5) 
$$\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s} {\binom{r}{s}} k^{p^{s}(r-s)} c(m+sp^{s}(p^{t}-1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{rs+r}}$$

for  $r \ge 1$ ,  $z \ge 0$ ,  $m \ge rz + r$ . In case  $\lambda_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $\lambda_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , these results do not hold and another congruence [5, Theorem 4] is obtained.

These results raise other interesting questions. We may seek, for example,

Received May 11, 1960. Research supported by National Science Foundation grant G-9425.