# PARTIAL-SUM COUPLINGS FOR DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES 

By John G. Herriot

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper the function $f(t, u)$ is assumed to be Lebesgue integrable over the square $Q(-\pi, \pi ;-\pi, \pi)$ and to have period $2 \pi$ in each variable. The double Fourier series is denoted by $\sigma(f)$ and the rectangular partial sums of $\sigma(f)$ at the point $(x, y)$ are denoted by $s_{m n}(x, y)$. To say that a method of summability $S$ possesses the localization property means that if an integrable function $f$ vanishes in a neighborhood of $(x, y)$, then $S$ sums $\sigma(f)$ at $(x, y)$ to 0 . It is well known that ordinary convergence and also the Cesàro method ( $C, 1,1$ ) do not possess the localization property. One way to get localization results is to consider restricted limits. If, for any $\lambda \geq 1$, a sequence $s_{m n}$ tends to a limit $s$ when $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ in such a manner that $m / n \leq \lambda$, $n / m \leq \lambda$, this limit $s$ being independent of $\lambda$, then we say $s_{m n} \rightarrow s$ restrictedly; whenever convenient we may denote this by writing $s_{m n} \xrightarrow{r} s$. In a previous paper [1] the author showed that restricted summability ( $C, \alpha, \beta$ ) does possess the localization property if and only if $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 1$. Thus restricted convergence of $\sigma(f)$ does not possess the localization property. In particular this means that even if $(x, y)$ is a point of continuity of $f$ it may not be true that $s_{m n}(x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$ restrictedly. It was shown in [1], however, that at a point ( $x, y$ ) of continuity of $f$ the double Fourier series $\sigma(f)$ is restrictedly summable $(C, 1,1)$ to $f(x, y)$.

As an alternative to Cesàro means, Rogosinski [2] introduced and studied couplings of the partial sums of a simple Fourier series. In the present paper we shall study the analogous couplings of the partial sums of double Fourier series. For integers $p$ and $q$ and real $h$ and $k$ we define the couplings by means of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{m n}(x, y ; p, q ; h, k)=\frac{1}{4}[ s_{m n}(x+h, y+k)+(-1)^{p-1} \\
& \cdot s_{m n}\left(x+h+\frac{p \pi}{m}, y+k\right)+(-1)^{a-1} s_{m n}\left(x+h, y+k+\frac{q \pi}{n}\right)  \tag{1.01}\\
&\left.+(-1)^{p+a} s_{m n}\left(x+h+\frac{p \pi}{m}, y+k+\frac{q \pi}{n}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that if $p$ and $q$ are both odd this is a "sum-coupling" and if $p$ or $q$ or both are even we get various "difference-couplings." If $h=h_{m}=-p \pi /(2 m)$ and $k=k_{n}=-q \pi /(2 n)$ we obtain a symmetric coupling which we denote by
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