RAMANUJAN SUMS AND THE AVERAGE VALUE OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS

BY RICHARD BELLMAN

1. Introduction. Let us consider the number-theoretic function d(n), the number of divisors of n. Although this function possesses a quite erratic behavior, assuming its minimum value 2 at the primes, and satisfying the inequality $d(n) \ge \exp((1 - \epsilon) \log 2 \log n/\log \log n)$ over another infinite sequence of integers, it was shown by Dirichlet that d(n) possesses an average value which is quite smooth. It is moreover easy to show that $d(n^k)$ and $d(n)^k$ for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ have mean values which are powers of $\log n$. Hence, it might be expected that for many sequences $\{a_n\}$, which behave like polynomial sequences, the relation $\sum_{n \le N} d(a_n) \sim c_1 N(\log N)^{c_n}$, where c_1 and c_2 depend upon the sequence $\{a_n\}$, would hold. That this cannot be true for sequences that increase too rapidly is clearly seen by considering the sequence $\{2^n\}$.

Using a device applicable only to the quadratic case, it was shown by Bellman and Shapiro [2] that $\sum_{n \leq N} d(an^2 + bn + c) \sim c_3 N \log N$, if the polynomial is irreducible, $c_3 = c_3(a, b, c)$, with log N replaced by $\log^2 N$ otherwise. The result is not elementary, although not difficult. For polynomials of higher degree, very little is known. It was shown by van der Corput [13] that $\sum_{n \leq N} d^l(f(n)) = O(N(\log N)^{c_4})$, where c_4 depends upon the exponent l and the polynomial f(x). For sums of the type $\sum_{n \leq N} d(ab^n + c)$ nothing is known.

The present paper grew out of an attempt to evaluate $\sum_{n \leq N} d(f(n))$. Unfortunately, this sum barely but thoroughly escapes the method given below, which was outlined in [1]. Using the method we can, however, demonstrate

THEOREM 1. Let the number-theoretic function $\sigma_{-s}(n)$ be defined by

(1.1)
$$\sigma_{-s}(n) = \sum_{k|n} k^{-s}.$$

Then, for Re (s) > 0,

(1.2)
$$\sum_{n \leq N} \sigma_{-s}(f(n)) \sim c_{\delta}(s)N$$

for any integer-valued polynomial f(x). Further $\sum_{\nu} \sigma_{-s}(f(p)) \sim c_{\mathfrak{s}}(s)N/\log N$ where the summation is over the primes less than or equal to N.

The result is only non-trivial for $0 < \text{Re }(s) \leq 1$. The form of the constants will be explicitly determined subsequently. It will be seen that each becomes infinite for s = 0. Since $\sigma_0(n) = d(n)$, it is clear that if, in place of (1.2), we could obtain an equality with a sufficiently small error term and if we knew

Received July 26, 1948; in revised form, February 16, 1949 and August 1, 1949.