SYMMETRIC APPROACH TO COMMUTATIVE RINGS, WITH DUALITY THEOREM: BOOLEAN DUALITY AS SPECIAL CASE

BY ALFRED L. FOSTER AND B. A. BERNSTEIN

1. The concept of commutative ring with unit—which notion will, throughout this paper, simply be referred to as ring—

$$(R, +, e; \times, \epsilon)$$

is historically defined as a class R containing two binary operations + and \times which satisfy:

(G₁) e and ε are elements of R;
(G₂) (R, +) is an Abelian group, with unit element e;
(G₃) ab (= a × b) is a unique element of R for any a, b of R;
(G) (G₄) ab = ba;
(G₅) εa = a;
(G₆) a(bc) = (ab)c;
(G₇) a(b + c) = ab + ac.

In this definition the two undefined operations + and \times play of course unsymmetrical rôles. This paper is concerned with an introduction to an elementary (non-ideal-theoretic) discussion of a new approach to rings, an approach which discloses an exact symmetry and a duality principle in this concept not heretofore made evident. It is shown, in particular, that the well-known symmetry and duality manifested by Boolean rings with unit (i.e., Boolean algebras) is but a special case of this general ring symmetry-duality.

2. Notation. As customary, -a is used to denote the inverse of a with respect to the additive group + of R, and a - b to denote a + (-b). Further, if a is a unity element of R, the multiplicative (\times) inverse of a is denoted by a'.

Let us call either of two rings $(R, +, e; \times, \epsilon) = (R)$ and $(R, \bigoplus, e_0; \Delta, \epsilon_0) = (R_0)$, given in the form (G) of §1, a *unit-transpose* of the other if

(i) each is defined on the same class R, and

(ii) the additive unit of one is the multiplicative unit of the other,

$$e_0 = \epsilon, \quad \epsilon_0 = e.$$

Naturally there need be no relation between the structures of two unit-transposes.

Received January 31, 1944; presented to the American Mathematical Society February 26, 1944.