EULERIAN PRODUCTS AND ANALYTIC CONTINUATION

BY AUREL WINTNER

1. A function g = g(n) of the positive integer n is called multiplicative if

$$g(n_1n_2) = g(n_1)g(n_2)$$
 when $(n_1, n_2) = 1$

and $g(n) \neq 0$ for at least one *n*. Since the latter restriction is equivalent to g(1) = 1, the condition of multiplicativity is equivalent to the formal identity D(s) = E(s), where D(s) denotes the Dirichlet series

$$D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)/n^{s}$$

and E(s) is the corresponding product

$$E(s) = \prod_{p} (1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g(p^{k})/p^{ks})$$

in which p runs through the sequence of all primes p (Euler). In particular, if

$$|g(p^{k})| < ext{const.}$$
 $(k = 1, 2, \dots; p = 2, 3, \dots),$

then both D(s) and E(s) converge for $\sigma > 1$ absolutely and represent the same regular function in the half-plane $\sigma > 1$, where $s = \sigma + it$.

If E(s) is convergent without being absolutely convergent, it will always be understood that the product index p runs *increasingly* through all primes.

If g(n) is Möbius' factor, that is, the multiplicative function assigned by the values

$$g(p) = -1,$$
 $g(p^2) = g(p^3) = \cdots = 0$

(for all p), so that $D(s) = 1/\zeta(s)$ for $\sigma > 1$, then the truth of Riemann's hypothesis is equivalent to the convergence of the Dirichlet series D(s) in the halfplane $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ (Littlewood). On the other hand, the corresponding Eulerian product

$$E(s) = \prod_{p} (1 - p^{-s})$$

is certainly divergent on the strip $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ of this half-plane (in fact, the second of the two series

$$\sum_{p} p^{-s}, \qquad \sum_{p} \mid p^{-s} \mid^{2}$$

is convergent and the first is divergent for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$). Thus, under Riemann's hypothesis, the convergence domain of D(s) reaches beyond the convergence domain of E(s).

Received November 29, 1943.