## A GENERALIZATION OF BROUWER'S FIXED POINT THEOREM

## By Shizuo Kakutani

The purpose of the present paper is to give a generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem (see  $[1]^1$ ), and to show that this generalized theorem implies the theorems of J. von Neumann ([2], [3]) obtained by him in connection with the theory of games and mathematical economics.

1. The fixed point theorem of Brouwer reads as follows: if  $x \to \varphi(x)$  is a continuous point-to-point mapping of an r-dimensional closed simplex S into itself, then there exists an  $x_0 \in S$  such that  $x_0 = \varphi(x_0)$ .

This theorem can be generalized in the following way: Let  $\Re(S)$  be the family of all closed convex subsets of S. A point-to-set mapping  $x \to \Phi(x) \in \Re(S)$  of Sinto  $\Re(S)$  is called upper semi-continuous if  $x_n \to x_0$ ,  $y_n \in \Phi(x_n)$  and  $y_n \to y_0$ imply  $y_0 \in \Phi(x_0)$ . It is easy to see that this condition is equivalent to saying that the graph of  $\Phi(x): \sum_{x \in S} x \times \Phi(x)$  is a closed subset of  $S \times S$ , where  $\times$ denotes a Cartesian product. Then the generalized fixed point theorem may be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1. If  $x \to \Phi(x)$  is an upper semi-continuous point-to-set mapping of an r-dimensional closed simplex S into  $\Re(S)$ , then there exists an  $x_0 \in S$  such that  $x_0 \in \Phi(x_0)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S^{(n)}$  be the *n*-th barycentric simplicial subdivision of S. For each vertex  $x^n$  of  $S^{(n)}$  take an arbitrary point  $y^n$  from  $\Phi(x^n)$ . Then the mapping  $x^n \to y^n$  thus defined on all vertices of  $S^{(n)}$  will define, if it is extended linearly inside each simplex of  $S^{(n)}$ , a continuous point-to-point mapping  $x \to \varphi_n(x)$  of S into itself. Consequently, by Brouwer's fixed point theorem, there exists an  $x_n \in S$  such that  $x_n = \varphi_n(x_n)$ . If we now take a subsequence  $\{x_{n_r}\}$   $(\nu = 1, 2, \cdots)$  of  $\{x_n\}$   $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$  which converges to a point  $x_0 \in S$ , then this  $x_0$  is a required point.

In order to prove this, let  $\Delta_n$  be an *r*-dimensional simplex of  $S^{(n)}$  which contains the point  $x_n$ . (If  $x_n$  lies on the lower-dimensional simplex of  $S^{(n)}$ , then  $\Delta_n$  is not uniquely determined. In this case, let  $\Delta_n$  be any one of these simplexes.) Let  $x_0^n$ ,  $x_1^n$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $x_r^n$  be the vertices of  $\Delta_n$ . Then it is clear that the sequence  $\{x_i^{nr}\}$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \cdots$ ) converges to  $x_0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \cdots, r$ , and we have  $x_n = \sum_{i=0}^r \lambda_i^n x_i^n$  for suitable  $\{\lambda_i^n\}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \cdots, r; n = 1, 2, \cdots$ ) with  $\lambda_i^n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^r \lambda_i^n = 1$ . Let us further put  $y_i^n = \varphi_n(x_i^n)$  ( $i = 0, 1, \cdots, r;$ 

Received January 21, 1941.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the list of references at the end.