## INTERIOR SURFACE TRANSFORMATIONS

## By G. T. WHYBURN

In a previous paper<sup>1</sup> it was shown that when a 2-dimensional manifold A (with or without boundary curves) undergoes a light interior transformation T(A) = B, the resulting image B is likewise a 2-dimensional manifold. In this paper it will be shown that under these circumstances the Euler characteristics of the original and resulting manifolds are connected by a simple numerical relationship involving integers dependent only on A, B and the transformation T (see §2). Numerous examples and applications of this result follow in §\$3 and 4. In the concluding section there is developed a method which effects the extension of this as well as other results concerning interior light transformations to the case of 2-dimensional pseudo-manifolds.

1. We consider a light interior transformation T(A) = B, where A (hence also B) is a compact 2-dimensional manifold. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  denote the boundaries (if any) of A and B, respectively. By a previous theorem it follows that there exists an integer k such that the inverse of every point in B consists of k or fewer points. We define the least such integer k to be the degree of T. In other words, the degree k of T is the maximum multiplicity of T. Also from the theorem just cited it follows that there is only a finite number of points of  $B - \beta$  whose inverse contains a point where T is not locally topological. Thus if T denotes the set of all such points of T is not locally topological. Thus if T denotes the set of all such points of T is not locally topological. Thus if T denotes the set of all such points of T is not locally topological. Thus T is locally topological; and since this set is connected, T must be exactly T to T on this set. Thus T is might also be defined as the multiplicity of T on the set T is not have T in the set T in t

Throughout this section the letters used above will retain their significance as there defined. Also  $\chi(X)$  will stand for the Euler characteristic of the complex or surface X. We proceed to establish two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If  $N \subset B$  is a graph dividing B into only a finite number of components, then  $T^{-1}(N)$  is a graph.

*Proof.* Since all but a finite number of points of N are of order 2,  $T^{-1}(N)$  has at most a finite number of end points. Since  $A - T^{-1}(N)$  has only a finite number of components (each of which maps onto a component of B - N), it follows that  $T^{-1}(N)$  contains only a finite number of simple closed curves.

Received July 9, 1938.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See my paper in the American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 60(1938), pp. 477-490.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid., Theorem (5.2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Eilenberg, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 24(1935), p. 36.