THE IDEAL WARING THEOREM FOR TWELFTH POWERS

By L. E. DICKSON

1. Ideal. Let g denote the greatest integer $< (3/2)^n$. Let

(1)
$$P = g2^n - 1$$
, $I = g + 2^n - 2$.

Evidently $P < 3^n$. Consider all the ways in which P can be a sum of positive integral *n*-th powers, necessarily 1^n or 2^n . There will occur more than I summands except when there are exactly g - 1 terms 2^n and exactly $2^n - 1$ terms 1. Hence P is a sum of I, but not fewer, *n*-th powers.

When n = 2, 3, 4, g = 2, 3, 5, I = 4, 9, 19. Lagrange and Euler proved that every positive integer is a sum of four squares. In 1770 Waring conjectured that "every positive integer is a sum of 9 cubes, also of 19 fourth powers, etc." The proof for 9 cubes was first obtained by Wieferich.¹ For fourth powers, the best result yet proved is that 35 suffice, while all integers $< 10^{26}$ are sums of 19. All tables extant confirm the following amplification of Waring's conjecture: *Every positive integer is a sum of* $I = g + 2^n - 2$ *integral n-th powers* ≥ 0 . This will be called the ideal Waring theorem. Proof has been published only for n = 2 and n = 3.

2. Summary for twelfth powers. We here prove the ideal Waring theorem for n = 12, viz.,

THEOREM 1. Every positive integer is a sum of I = 4223 integral twelfth powers. But we go further and prove

THEOREM 2. Every integer > $2 \cdot 3^{12}$ is a sum of 2405 twelfth powers. Every one > $3 \cdot 3^{12}$ is a sum of 1560 powers. Every one > $2 \cdot 5^{12} + 7^{12} + 8^{12}$ is a sum of 440.

There are only two earlier results.² From Kempner's identity, we find that 61/4 billion twelfth powers suffice. By a short table, the writer proved also that 10711 suffice. The present table gives decompositions of 6 908 733 consecutive integers into twelfth powers.

3. Minimum decompositions. We employ

 $a = 2^{12} = 4096$, $b = 3^{12} = 531\ 441$, $c = 4^{12} = 16\ 777\ 216$,

(1) $d = 5^{12} = 244\ 140\ 625$, $f = 6^{12} = 2\ 176\ 782\ 336$,

 $g = 7^{12} = 13\ 841\ 287\ 201$, $h = 8^{12} = 68\ 719\ 476\ 736$,

Received February 7, 1936.

¹ Math. Annalen, vol. 66 (1909), pp. 99–101. The proof was corrected and greatly simplified by Dickson, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 30 (1927), pp. 1–7.

² Dickson, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 39 (1933), p. 713.