

GENERALIZED PERFECT SETS

BY G. T. WHYBURN

1. In an earlier paper¹ the author has defined generalized derived aggregates or K -derivatives for subsets A of a metric space C with respect to an arbitrary class K of closed sets in C . Under this definition, by the K -derivative $K(A)$ of A is meant the set of all points x such that every neighborhood of x contains a subset of A which is not contained in any K -set. The operation of taking K -derivatives may be iterated, giving successive K -derivatives denoted as follows: $A = A_K^0$, $K(A) = A_K^1$, \dots , $K(A_K^{\alpha-1}) = A_K^\alpha$, \dots , and in general $A_K^\alpha = K(A_K^{\alpha-1})$ or $= \prod_{\beta < \alpha} K(A_K^\beta)$ according as α is an isolated or a limit ordinal.

This suggests the following extension of the notion of a perfect set, to which the present paper is devoted. A set of points A will be said to be K -perfect provided it is equal to its own K -derivative, i.e., $K(A) = A$. It results at once that, for all classes K , every K -perfect set is closed. In case K is the class of all single points, then the K -perfect sets reduce to the ordinary perfect sets.

Other examples are: (1) the Sierpinski triangle curve² is perfect with respect to the class of all simple closed curves, the class of all simple arcs, or the class of all dendrites; but it is not perfect relative to the class of all regular curves; (2) the set E consisting of the curve $y = \sin 1/x$ together with the interval $I = (-1, 1)$ of the y -axis is perfect with respect to the class of all simple closed curves in E , (a vacuous class); but relative to the class of simple arcs in E , this set is not perfect, since its first arc-derivative reduces to I and its second arc-derivative vanishes; (3) in the set F consisting of the curve $y^2 = x^2 \sin^2 1/x$ together with the origin Q , the point Q itself is perfect with respect to the class K of all simple closed curves contained in F ; in fact, in this case $F_K^1 = Q$, $F_K^2 = K(Q) = Q$, and so on.

In what follows we shall suppose our space to be separable and metric, and K will denote an arbitrary class of closed sets in this space.

2. THEOREM. *Any closed set A is the sum of a K -perfect set and a countable number of sets each of which is the intersection of A with a K -set.*

Proof. Let B be the first ordinal such that $A_K^\beta = A_K^{\beta+1}$. Since the space is separable, β is of the first or second class. Then A_K^β is K -perfect and we have

$$(i) \quad A = A_K^\beta + \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \beta} [A_K^\alpha - A_K^{\alpha+1}].$$

Now for any α , each point x of $A_K^\alpha - A_K^{\alpha+1}$ is contained in some neighborhood Q^α such that $A_K^\alpha \cdot Q^\alpha$ is contained in some K -set. Whence, by the Lindelöf

Received February 13, 1935.

¹ See American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 54 (1932), pp. 169-175.

² See Comptes Rendus, vol. 162, p. 629.