

## SYMPLECTIC MODULAR SYMBOLS

PAUL E. GUNNELLS

## 1. Introduction

1.1. Let  $G$  be a semisimple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank  $\ell$ , and let  $X$  be the associated symmetric space. Let  $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$  be a torsion-free arithmetic subgroup. Then  $H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(\Gamma \backslash X; \mathbb{Z})$ , and this cohomology vanishes for  $* > N$ , where  $N = \dim(X) - \ell$ , the cohomological dimension of  $\Gamma$ .

The theory of modular symbols as formulated by Ash [2] constructs an explicit spanning set for  $H^N(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  as follows. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Tits building associated to  $G$  [17]. By the Solomon-Tits theorem,  $\mathcal{B}$  has the homotopy type of a wedge of  $(\ell - 1)$ -spheres, and thus  $\tilde{H}_*(\mathcal{B}; \mathbb{Z})$  is nonzero only in dimension  $\ell - 1$ . Using the Borel-Serre compactification of the locally symmetric space  $\Gamma \backslash X$ , we may construct a map

$$(1) \quad \Phi : H_{\ell-1}(\mathcal{B}; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^N(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$$

that is surjective (cf. §2). Because the left-hand side of (1) is generated by fundamental classes of apartments of  $\mathcal{B}$ , this provides a geometric spanning set for  $H^N(\Gamma)$ . These cohomology classes (or rather, their duals in homology) are called *modular symbols*.

1.2. The modular symbols provide a spanning set for  $H^N(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ , but they do not provide a finite spanning set, a distinction that is important for applications. However, suppose  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is a number field with euclidean ring of integers  $\mathbb{O}$ , and let  $G(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{SL}_n(K)$  and  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{O})$ . Then in [6], Ash and Rudolph determine an explicit finite spanning set—the *unimodular symbols*—and present an algorithm to write a modular symbol as a sum of unimodular symbols (cf. §2.9). This algorithm, in conjunction with certain explicit cell complexes, can be used to compute the action of the Hecke operators on  $H^N(\Gamma)$ . In turn, through work of Ash, Pinch, and Taylor [5], Ash and McConnell [4], and van Geemen and Top [18], corroborative evidence has been produced for certain aspects of the “Langlands philosophy.” In particular, in the case of  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ , many examples of representations of the absolute Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  have been found that appear to be associated to cohomology classes of  $\Gamma$ .

1.3. In this paper we solve the finiteness problem for the symplectic group:  $G(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K)$  and  $\Gamma$  of finite index in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{O})$ , where  $\mathbb{O}$  is euclidean. We characterize a finite spanning set of  $H^N(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  and present an algorithm (Theorem 4.11)

Received 7 May 1998. Revision received 28 July 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F75.

Author’s work partially supported by National Science Foundation grant number DMS-9627870.