MEMOIRS OF THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF KYOTO, SERIES A Vol. XXXI, Mathematics No. 1, 1958.

## Some Basic Theorems in Partial Differential Algebra

## By

## A. SEIDENBERG

(Communicated by Prof. Akizuki, September 1, 1957)

**Introduction.** Let F be an arbitrary partial differential field of characteristic 0 with m types of differentiation  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m, m \ge 0$ , and let u be an element from an extension field K of F. In 1934, Raudenbush [4] defined u to be algebraic over F if n together with its derivatives satisfy some non-trivial polynomial relation over F. There are, of course, the three well-known to verify:

- Axiom 1.  $u_i$  is algebraic over  $F < u_1, \dots, u_n >$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- Axiom 2. If u is algebraic over F < v > but not over F, then v is algebraic over F < u >.
- Axiom 3. If v is algebraic over  $F < u_1, \dots, u_n >$  and each  $u_i$  is algebraic over F, then v is algebraic over F.

Axiom 1 is trivial; and Axiom 2 also follows easily. Axiom 3, however, required a straightforward but rather complicated computational argument. The Steinitz theory of transcendency was thus established. One may say that Raudenbush's definition (to be referred to as *Definition* I) is adapted to Axiom 2, but not to Axiom 3. On the other hand, consider the following definition. By the  $\delta_i$ -theory we mean the theory which results from regarding K as a partial differential field under the m-1 differentiations  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{i-1}, \delta_{i+1}, \dots, \delta_m$ . The definition runs:

**Definition. II.** We say that u is algebric over F (for m > 0) if F < u > /F is of finite degree of transcendency in each of the  $\delta_{i^-}$ theories,  $i=1, \dots, m$ . (For m=0, the usual definition is to obtain.) Here Axiom 3, stated in terms of Definition II, becomes:

If  $F < u_1, \dots, u_n, v > / F < u_1, \dots, u_n >$  and  $F < u_1, \dots, u_n > / F$ are both of finite degree of transcendency in each of the  $\delta_i$ -theories,