

# Degenerate parabolic differential equations: Necessity of the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem

By

Masatake MIYAKE

(September 26, 1973)

## §1. Introduction

We study in this note the following forward Cauchy problem;

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2m} t^{n_j} \mathcal{L}_{2m-j} \left( x, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u(x, t),$$

$$(1.2) \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0(x) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\infty}(R_x^n),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{2m-j} \left( x, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2m-j} a_{\alpha,j}(x, t) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha}$ ,  $a_{\alpha,j}(x, t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(\mathcal{B}_x)^1$ ,  $(x, t) \in R_x^n \times [0, 1]$  and  $n_j \geq 0$ .

Our purpose in this note is to seek a necessary condition of the  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\infty}$ -well-posedness for the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2). Recently K. Igari [4] has studied this problem, but our research is different from it. For instance, our research is based on the *modified order*<sup>2)</sup> of the

1)  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\infty}(R_x^n) = \left\{ u(x); \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} u(x) \in L^2(R_x^n) \text{ for any } \alpha \right\}$

$\mathcal{B}_x(R_x^n) = \left\{ u(x) \in C^{\infty}(R_x^n); \left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} u(x) \right| \leq M_{\alpha} \text{ for some } M_{\alpha} \geq 0 \text{ for any } \alpha \right\}$

$u(x, t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(\mathcal{B}_x)$  means that  $u(x, t) \in \mathcal{B}_x$  for any fixed  $t$  and continuous in  $t$  in the usual topology of  $\mathcal{B}_x$ .

2) We say that the modified order at  $t=0$  of  $t^{n_j} \mathcal{L}_{2m-j}$  is  $\frac{2m-j}{n_j+1}$ .