

On the poles of the scattering matrix for two strictly convex obstacles: An addendum

By

Mitsuru IKAWA

(Communicated by Prof. Mizohata, Sept. 22, 1982)

§1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to improve the second part of Theorem 1 of the previous paper [2]. Namely, we like to give a more precise information on the existence of the poles of the scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}(z)$. The result we want to show in this paper is

Theorem 1. *Suppose that \mathcal{O} satisfies the same conditions as in Theorem 1 of [2]. Then there exists at least a pole of $\mathcal{S}(z)$ in $\{z; |z - z_j| \leq C(|j| + 1)^{-1/2}\}$ for all large $|j|$.*

As remarked in [2], in order to show Theorem 1 it suffices to prove

Theorem 2. *The operator $U(\mu)$ which is defined in Theorem 2 of [2] has at least a pole in $\{\mu; |\mu - \mu_j| \leq C(|j| + 1)^{-1/2}\}$ for all large $|j|$.*

The plan of the proof of Theorem 2 is as follows. First we shall construct an asymptotic solution $u(x, t; k)$ of the problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \square u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \\ u = m(x, t; k) & \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbf{R} \\ \text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega} \times \{t; t > 0\} \end{cases}$$

for an oscillatory boundary data

$$(1.2) \quad m(x, t; k) = e^{ik(\varphi_\infty(x) - t)} g(x)m(t)$$

following the process of [2], where φ_∞ is a phase function introduced in §3 of [2], and $g(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma_1)$, $m(t) \in C_0(\mathbf{R})$. Then the Laplace transform $\hat{u}(x, \mu; k)$ of $u(x, t; k)$ becomes an approximation of $\hat{m}(\mu + ik)U(\mu)(e^{ik\varphi_\infty(\cdot)}g(\cdot))(x)$, and we estimate $\Delta_{C_j}\hat{u}(A(l_0), \mu; k_j)$ for $A(l_0)$ a point on the segment a_1a_2 , $C_j = \{\mu; |\mu - \mu_j| = \eta\}$ ($\eta > 0$) and $k_j = -j\pi/d$, where $\Delta_C\hat{u}$ denotes the variation of arg \hat{u} along the contour C .