

Excursions in a cone for two-dimensional Brownian motion

By

Michio SHIMURA

(Communicated by Prof. S. Watanabe, May 7, 1984)

1. Introduction and preliminaries.

Let $\{B(t), 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ be the two-dimensional standard Brownian motion process with continuous paths on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_x, x \in \mathbf{R}^2)$, $P_x(B(0)=x)=1$. We also write P_0 by P . A most significant property of the Brownian paths is known as the *winding property*: Let T be a finite Markov time of the two-dimensional Brownian motion process, then with probability one $\{B(t), T \leq t < T + \varepsilon\}$ winds about $B(T)$ and cuts itself for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (Ito and McKean [9], 7.11). In this paper we will consider the contrary. Namely, when does occur a *non-winding* in the two-dimensional Brownian paths? We also determine the *law of a non-winding part* by giving the corresponding conditioned limit theorem for the Brownian motion.

Let $u(\theta)$ be a unit vector $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ in \mathbf{R}^2 . For $0 < \alpha < 2\pi$ we set a circular cone

$$F = F(\alpha) = \{x = ru(\theta) : r \geq 0, 0 \leq \theta \leq \alpha\}.$$

In the remainder of this section we consider an F such that the vertical angle is $0 < \alpha \leq \pi$ unless anything other is stated. Then F has the following property:

$$(1.1) \quad \text{If } x \in F, \text{ then } F + x \subset F.$$

Noting the continuity of the Brownian paths, we have from (1.1) the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq \pi$. Then for every $0 \leq s < \infty$ there exists the largest interval among all of the closed ones $[\tau, \nu]$ satisfying*

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq s \leq \nu \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad B(t) \in F + B(\tau) \quad \text{for} \quad \tau \leq t \leq \nu$$

(or for $\tau \leq t < \infty$ if $\nu = \infty$).

Let $[\tau(s), \nu(s)]$ denote the largest interval, and call it an F -excursion interval (*straddling* s) if it does not degenerate to a single point $\{s\}$. We note that the largest interval does not exist in general, when (1.1) does not hold for $\pi < \alpha < 2\pi$. Let $\mathcal{E}_F(B)$ be the random set of all F -excursion intervals. Clearly $\{B(t), \tau \leq t < \nu\}$ does not wind about $B(\tau)$, if $[\tau, \nu] \in \mathcal{E}_F(B)$. First we consider the following