

# ON THE PROPAGATOR EQUATION

BY  
ROBERT CARROLL<sup>1</sup>

1. We are concerned here with weak and strong solutions of the evolution equation

$$(1.1) \quad y' + A(t)y = f(t); \quad y(\tau) = y_0$$

and related abstract equations. A number of observations and theorems will be given with no particular attempt at a "unified theory" (see [15] for a more complete discussion). Thus Part 2 is on strong solutions and propagators  $G(t, s)$  solving (1.1) in the form

$$(1.2) \quad y = G(t, \tau)y_0 + \int_{\tau}^t G(t, s)f(s) ds,$$

Part 3 contains some new results on weak solutions, and Part 4 is on some abstract problems. Some of the results have been announced in [16].

2. We suppose  $A(t)$  is an unbounded linear operator in the separable Hilbert space  $H$  with domain  $D_t = D(A(t))$  usually dense but this will be specified in each case. To begin with we suppose the problem (1.1) can be solved (uniquely) for

$$y_0 \in I_{\tau} \subset H \quad \text{and} \quad f(\cdot) \in F_{\tau} \subset L^2(H) = E$$

for some linear spaces  $I_{\tau}$  and  $F_{\tau}$ ; furthermore we will deal with the finite interval case  $\tau \leq t \leq T < \infty$  in general since all of the main features of the problem are exhibited there. We stipulate that all derivatives are in  $D'(H)$  (see [33]) and the terms in (1.1) are in  $L^2(H)$ . First we note a somewhat stronger form of a lemma proved in [10] which is surely well known but seems not to have been written down in this form. Let  $A(t)$  be accretive i.e.,  $\operatorname{Re}(A(t)x, x) \geq 0$ , and let  $y$  be a unique solution of (1.1) with  $y_0 = 0$  which we write  $y = K(f)$ . Now

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(f, K(f))_E &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{\tau}^T (y' + Ay, y)_H dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^T \frac{d}{dt} \|y\|^2 dt + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\tau}^T (Ay, y) dt \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(see [3] for integration theory in  $L^2(H)$ ). If  $F_{\tau}$  is dense and  $K$  extends by continuity to a continuous map  $\tilde{K} : E \rightarrow E$  then (2.1) extends to all  $E$ . But from (2.1) we can deduce also that

---

Received July 15, 1966.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by a National Science Foundation grant.