

# ON THE NUMBER OF RESTRICTED PRIME FACTORS OF AN INTEGER. I

BY  
KARL K. NORTON

## 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  be an arbitrary nonempty set of (positive rational) prime numbers. For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $\omega(n; E)$  denote the number of distinct primes in  $E$  which divide  $n$ , and let  $\Omega(n; E)$  be the total number of primes in  $E$  which divide  $n$ , counted according to multiplicity. Thus if  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} n'$ , where  $r \geq 0$ ,  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  are distinct primes in  $E$ , each  $a_j$  is a positive integer, and  $n'$  has no prime factor in  $E$ , then  $\omega(n; E) = r$  and  $\Omega(n; E) = a_1 + \cdots + a_r$ . When  $E$  is the set of all primes, we write  $\omega(n; E) = \omega(n)$ ,  $\Omega(n; E) = \Omega(n)$ . The main objective of this paper and a subsequent one [31] is to derive some very accurate information about the distribution of values of these functions.

In the study of the sizes of  $\omega(n; E)$  and  $\Omega(n; E)$ , an important role is played by the function

$$(1.1) \quad E(x) = \sum_{p \leq x, p \in E} p^{-1}.$$

For example, it is easy to show by the method of [20, Section 22.10] that  $\omega(n; E)$  has average order  $E(n)$ , and the same is true of  $\Omega(n; E)$  if  $E(n)$  tends to infinity with  $n$ . Furthermore, a general theorem of Turán [38] shows in particular that if  $E(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , then each of  $\omega(n; E)$  and  $\Omega(n; E)$  has normal order  $E(n)$ . Turán's proof yields a quantitative version of this result which can be stated as follows for  $\omega(n; E)$ : if  $\alpha = \alpha(x) > 0$  and  $E(x) \geq 1$ , then

$$(1.2) \quad \text{card} \{n: n \leq x \text{ and } |\omega(n; E) - E(x)| \geq \alpha E(x)\} \leq c_1 x / \alpha^2 E(x).$$

(Throughout this paper,  $\text{card } B$  denotes the number of members of the set  $B$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $c_i(\delta, \varepsilon, \dots)$  means a positive number depending only on  $\delta, \varepsilon, \dots$ , while  $c_i$  means a positive absolute constant.) In particular,

$$(1.3) \quad \text{card} \{n: n \leq x \text{ and } |\omega(n; E) - E(x)| \geq \alpha E(x)\} = o(x)$$

if  $E(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\alpha E(x)^{1/2} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . The result (1.3) was first proved by Hardy and Ramanujan [19] (this paper is reprinted in [33, pp. 262–275]) for the special case in which  $E$  is the set of all primes (in this case, it is well known that  $E(x) = \log \log x + O(1)$  for  $x \geq 2$ , and in fact,  $E(x)$  can be replaced by  $\log \log x$  in (1.3)).

---

Received August 18, 1975.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 10A20, 10H15, 10H20, 10H25, 10H99. Secondary 10K20.