

# COMPLEMENTARY 1-ULC PROPERTIES FOR 3-SPHERES IN 4-SPACE

BY

FREDRIC D. ANCEL AND D. R. McMILLAN, JR.<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Introduction

A recurring theme in geometric topology is the importance of the 1-ULC property. For example, if  $\Sigma$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -sphere topologically embedded in  $S^n$  ( $n \neq 4$ ), then  $\Sigma$  is flat if and only if  $S^n - \Sigma$  is 1-ULC. (See [2], [8], and [5].) If  $U$  is a component of  $S^n - \Sigma$ , it is natural to ask: For which sets  $T \subset \Sigma$  is it true that  $U \cup T$  is 1-ULC? (Of course,  $T = \Sigma$  always works.) For  $n = 3$ , R. H. Bing has shown [3] that for some 0-dimensional  $T \subset \Sigma$ ,  $U \cup T$  is 1-ULC. For  $n \geq 5$ , Robert J. Daverman has found [6] a 1-dimensional  $T \subset \Sigma$  such that  $U \cup T$  is 1-ULC. (It is suspected that the dimension of Daverman's set cannot, in general, be lowered but no example is yet at hand.)

In Theorem 1 we extend Daverman's result to cover the case  $n = 4$ . Moreover, as constructed our 1-dimensional set  $T$  is easily seen to have embedding dimension at most 1 relative to  $\Sigma$  ("dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$ "), in the sense of [13] and [10]. We cannot hope to strengthen Daverman's high-dimensional result to obtain dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$ , when  $n \geq 5$ . For in Theorem 2 we observe that when  $n \geq 6$ , if  $T$  can be found with dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$ , then  $T$  can be chosen so that dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 0$ . But in [7], Daverman constructs embeddings of  $\Sigma$  in  $S^n$ , for all  $n \geq 4$ , for which  $T$  can never be chosen to have dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 0$ . In fact, in these examples  $T$  must satisfy dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \geq n - 3$ .

We account for our inability to obtain dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$  when  $n > 4$  by remarking that for a  $\sigma$ -compactum  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ , "dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$ " is a stronger statement when  $\dim \Sigma > 3$  than when  $\dim \Sigma = 3$ . For when  $\dim \Sigma > 3$ , dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$  implies  $\Sigma - T$  is 1-ULC. No such implication holds when  $\dim \Sigma = 3$ . We can appreciate the relative weakness of the statement "dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$ " when  $\dim \Sigma = 3$  in another way: James W. Cannon has observed that when  $\dim \Sigma = 3$ , "dem $_{\Sigma}$   $T \leq 1$ " is equivalent to the existence of a 0-dimensional subset  $S$  of  $T$  such that  $(\Sigma - T) \cup S$  is 1-ULC. However when  $\dim \Sigma > 3$ , any codimension 2  $\sigma$ -compactum  $T$  in  $\Sigma$  contains a 0-dimensional subset  $S$  for which  $(\Sigma - T) \cup S$  is 1-ULC.

Examples are easily constructed in all dimensions  $n \geq 3$  with the property that any subset  $T$  of  $\Sigma$  for which  $U \cup T$  is 1-ULC must be dense in  $\Sigma$ . Thus the subset  $T$  constructed by Daverman and the present authors is, in general, noncompact. In fact, Carl Pixley has noted that for  $n \geq 5$ , if  $T$  is a *compact*

---

Received August 18, 1975.

<sup>1</sup> Research of the second author was supported in part by a National Science Foundation grant.