

# MARKOV PROCESSES ON AN ENTRANCE BOUNDARY<sup>1</sup>

BY  
FRANK KNIGHT

This paper presents, essentially, an alternative approach to the second part of [7] in terms of "boundary theory." In [7, Section 2] the motivating idea was to regularize a temporally homogeneous Markov process  $X(t)$ , having as state space a set  $X$ , by using martingale theorems, independently of a topology on  $X$  or on the state space  $Y$  of the resulting process  $Y(t)$ . It is shown here that there is an alternative state space  $Y_\rho$  for  $Y(t)$ , and a compact metric topology on  $Y_\rho$ , such that  $Y(t)$  (after a slight adjustment of the definition in [7] on certain sets of probability 0) has right continuous path functions. The necessary metric  $\rho$  is defined in a manner closely resembling that used by R. S. Martin [8] in defining a general boundary for the positive harmonic functions on Euclidean domains. In this way it is an extension of the boundaries discussed especially by Doob [2], Hunt [6], and Watanabe [10]. In terms of the metric  $\rho$ , the space  $Y_\rho$  is the completion of  $X$ , and the new process, denoted by  $Y_\rho(t)$ , is defined from  $X(t)$  as the value of the process  $X(t)$  on the "entrance boundary" corresponding to  $t$ , for each  $t$ . Equivalently,  $Y_\rho(t)$  is simply given almost surely for all  $t$  by  $Y_\rho(t) = \lim_{\tau \downarrow t, \tau \text{ rational}} X(\tau)$ , the limit being taken in the metric  $\rho$ .

In the second section we show the connection between this regularization of  $X(t)$  and the general methods of Ray [9] for regularization of transition functions and processes corresponding to a given resolvent  $R_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , operating on continuous functions. It may be pointed out that, aside from reasons of completeness, this connection is significant because, whenever  $Y_\rho(t)$  is an instance of Ray's method, the theorems of [9] provide a transition function for  $Y_\rho(t)$  together with a number of its properties which are not established otherwise.

## Section 1

It is assumed in [7, Section 2] that a Markov process  $X(t)$  relative to completed  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}(t)$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is given, together with a homogeneous transition function  $p(t, x, E)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , for  $X(t)$ , and such that (a)  $\mathcal{B}$  is generated by countably many sets, (b) wide-sense conditional distributions over  $\mathcal{B}$  exist, (c)  $p(t, x, E)$  is measurable in  $(t, x)$  over  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{B}$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the field of real Borel sets, and (d)  $p(\cdot, x_1, \cdot) = p(\cdot, x_2, \cdot)$  implies that  $x_1 = x_2$ . These hypotheses are assumed again here. Under these hypotheses there is constructed in [7] a corresponding process  $Y(t)$  which has for its range (at each  $t$ ) families of joint distributions  $F(t', E; t, w)$ ,  $t' > 0$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , the "conditional futures", such that for

---

Received December 14, 1961.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by an Air Force Contract.