## THE p-period of a finite group

## BY

## RICHARD G. SWAN<sup>1</sup>

If  $\pi$  is any finite group, the *p*-period of  $\pi$  is defined to be the least positive integer *q* such that the cohomology groups  $\hat{H}^i(\pi, A)$  and  $\hat{H}^{i+q}(\pi, A)$  have isomorphic *p*-primary components for all *i* and all *A* [1, Ch. XII, Ex. 11]. This is equivalent to the statement that  $\hat{H}^q(\pi, Z)$  has an element of order  $p^r$ , the highest power of *p* dividing the order of  $\pi$  [1, Ch. XII, Ex. 11].

I will say that q is a p-period for  $\pi$  if it is a multiple of the p-period. The ordinary period of the cohomology of  $\pi$  is, of course, the least common multiple of all the p-periods. It is known [1, Ch. XII, Ex. 11] that the p-period will be finite if and only if the p-sylow subgroup of  $\pi$  is either cyclic or a generalized quaternion group. The purpose of this paper is to give a simple group-theoretic interpretation of the p-period of  $\pi$ . The methods used here also give a cohomological generalization of Grün's second theorem [3, Ch. V, Th. 6]. This will be presented in the Appendix since it is not needed in proving Theorems 1 and 2.

THEOREM 1. If the 2-sylow subgroup of  $\pi$  is cyclic, the 2-period is 2. If the 2-sylow subgroup of  $\pi$  is a (generalized) quaternion group, the 2-period is 4.

THEOREM 2. Suppose p is odd and the p-sylow subgroup of  $\pi$  is cyclic. Let  $\pi_p$  be a p-sylow subgroup, and let  $\Phi_p$  be the group of automorphisms of  $\pi_p$  induced by inner automorphisms of  $\pi$ . Then the p-period of  $\pi$  is twice the order of  $\Phi_p$ .

The group  $\Phi_p$  is, of course, isomorphic to  $N(\pi_p)/C(\pi_p)$  where N and C denote the normalizer and centralizer, respectively.

Before proving these theorems, I will review some facts about the cohomology of groups. Suppose  $h: \rho \to \pi$  is a monomorphism of finite groups. Then h induces a map of cohomology  $h^*: \hat{H}^i(\pi, A) \to \hat{H}^i(\rho, A)$ . Here Ais a  $\pi$ -module and so can be regarded as a  $\rho$ -module by means of h. This map  $h^*$  is defined as follows. Let W be a Tate complex (or complete resolution in the terminology of [1, Ch. XII, §3]) for  $\pi$ . Then  $\rho$  acts on W through h, and W is  $\rho$ -free since h is a monomorphism. Thus W is also a Tate complex for  $\rho$ . The map  $h^*$  is now defined to be the map of cohomology induced by the inclusion  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\pi}(W, A) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{\rho}(W, A)$ . In case h is an inclusion map,  $h^*$  is just the map  $i(\rho, \pi)$  of [1, Ch. XII, §8]. Suppose  $\pi \subset \Pi, x \in \Pi$ and  $h:x\pi x^{-1} \to \pi$  is given by  $h(y) = x^{-1}yx$ . Then  $h^*: \hat{H}^*(\pi, A) \to$  $\hat{H}^*(x\pi x^{-1}, A)$  is just the map  $c_x$  of [1, Ch. XII, §8].

Let  $\pi'$  be a subgroup of  $\pi$ , and x an element of  $\pi$ . Then there are two obvious monomorphisms  $i, f_x : \pi' \cap x\pi'x^{-1} \to \pi'$ , namely, i(y) = y and  $f_x(y) = y$ 

Received November 24, 1959.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sponsored by the Office of Ordnance Research, U. S. Army.