# HAUSDORFF DIMENSION IN PROBABILITY THEORY<sup>1</sup>

#### BY

### PATRICK BILLINGSLEY

#### 1. Summary

Let  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{G}, \mu)$  be a probability measure space on which is defined a stochastic process  $\{x_n\}$  with finite state space. In §§1-3 we define a notion of fractional dimension, in terms of  $\mu$  and  $\{x_n\}$ , for any set  $M \subset \Omega$ . If  $\Omega$  is the unit interval, if  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure, and if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n(\omega)s^{-n}$  is, for each  $\omega$ , the base *s* expansion of  $\omega$ , the definition reduces to the classical one due to Hausdorff. In §§4 and 5 we obtain, under the assumption that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Markov chain, the dimensions of certain sets defined in terms of the asymptotic relative frequencies of the various transitions  $i \to j$ . In §7 these theorems are specialized to the case in which  $\{x_n\}$  is independent. In the classical case these results become extensions of theorems due to Eggleston [4, 5] and Volkmann [16, 17]. In §6 we use the preceding theorems to obtain a result on "generalized Lipschitz conditions" on certain measures, a result which reduces in the classical case to one of Kinney [11]. In §8 the dimensions obtained in the first part of the paper are shown to be related to entropy and certain allied concepts of information theory.

## 2. Introduction and definitions

Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be a stochastic process defined on a probability measure space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$ . Suppose that the state space of the process is a finite set  $\sigma$ , the states of which for notational convenience we take to be the first s integers:  $\sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ . Thus  $x_n \in \sigma$  with probability one for all n. A set of the form

$$\{\omega: x_k(\omega) = a_n, k = 1, \cdots, n\},\$$

where  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is a sequence of states, we call a *cylinder* or, more specifically, an *n-cylinder*. (While the sets  $\{\omega: x_1(\omega) = a \text{ or } b\}$  and  $\{\omega: x_n(\omega) = a\}$  are cylinders according to the usual definition, they are not according to the one given above, which will be adhered to throughout the paper.)

If M is a subset of  $\Omega$ , if  $\rho > 0$ , and if  $\mathcal{V}$  is an enumerable (possibly finite) collection of cylinders, then we say that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a  $\rho$ -covering of M provided  $\mu(v) < \rho$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $M \subset V = \bigcup \{v: v \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . (We will consistently denote a collection of cylinders by a script letter, with or without subscripts, and the union of the collection by the corresponding Latin letter.) If  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,

Received December 12, 1958.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented to the Institute of Mathematical Statistics August 26, 1958. Most of the work on this paper was done while the author was a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow at Princeton University.