HOMOTOPY GROUPS, COMMUTATORS, AND Γ -GROUPS

ΒY

DANIEL M. KAN

1. Introduction

In [7] J. H. C. Whitehead introduced for a simply connected complex K an exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow H_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\nu_{n+1}} \Gamma_n(K) \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} \pi_n(K) \xrightarrow{\mu_n} H_n(K) \longrightarrow$$

(called Γ -sequence) involving the homotopy groups $\pi_n(K)$, the homology groups $H_n(K)$, and a new kind of groups $\Gamma_n(K)$, called the Γ -groups of K.

As was shown in [3], homology groups are, in a certain precise sense, "obtained from the homotopy groups by abelianization." The above exact sequence suggests that between Γ -groups and homotopy groups a dual relationship might exist. It is the purpose of this note to show that this is indeed the case, and that the Γ -groups are, in a similar sense, "obtained from the homotopy groups by taking commutator subgroups."

The result will be stated in terms of c.s.s. complexes and c.s.s. groups. We shall freely use the notation and results of [3] and [4].

The main step in the argument is a rather curious lemma on connected c.s.s. groups. It states that for a connected c.s.s. group F and any integer $n \ge 2$, every *n*-simplex in the commutator subgroup of F is homotopic with an *n*-simplex in the commutator subgroup of the (n - 1)-skeleton of F.

2. The main lemma

We shall state a lemma which describes a rather surprising property of connected c.s.s. groups. The lemma shows how connectedness, although its definition involves only 0-simplices and 1-simplices, influences quite strongly the behaviour of a c.s.s. group in all higher dimensions. This explains somewhat why connectedness is such a strong condition to impose on a c.s.s. group or, equivalently, (cf. [4], §§9 and 11) why simple connectedness is such a strong condition to impose on a CW-complex or a c.s.s. complex.

For another application of this lemma see [6].

Let F be a c.s.s. group; denote by $[F, F] \subset F$ the commutator subgroup, i.e., the (c.s.s.) subgroup such that $[F, F]_n = [F_n, F_n]$ for all n; and for every integer $s \ge 0$ let $F^s \subset F$ be the s-skeleton, i.e., the smallest (c.s.s.) subgroup containing F_s . Then we have

LEMMA 2.1. Let F be a connected c.s.s. group, and let $\sigma \in [F, F]_n$, where $n \geq 2$. Then there exist elements

$$\phi \in [F^{n-1}, F^{n-1}]_n$$
 and $\rho \in [F^n, F^n]_{n+1}$

Received July 21, 1958.