

ON THE η -INVARIANT OF CERTAIN NONLOCAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

JOCHEN BRÜNING AND MATTHIAS LESCH

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	425
2. Generalities	426
3. Expansion theorems and the gluing law	439
4. Proofs	455

1. Introduction. A very intriguing feature of elliptic operators on compact manifolds without boundary is the locality of their indices. Specifically, if M denotes a compact Riemannian spin manifold, $S \rightarrow M$ a spinor bundle, $E \rightarrow M$ a hermitian coefficient bundle with unitary connection, and D^E the Dirac operator on M with coefficients in E , then, by the Atiyah-Singer theorem,

$$\operatorname{ind} D_+^E = \int_M \hat{A}(M) \wedge \operatorname{ch} E. \quad (1.1)$$

Here D_+^E arises from splitting $S \otimes E$ under the involution induced by the complex volume element on M .

If M decomposes along a compact hypersurface N as $M = M_1 \cup M_2$, with $\partial M_i = N$ for $i = 1, 2$, then one is led to ask whether the obvious decomposition of the right-hand side in (1.1) corresponds to a decomposition of the (essentially) selfadjoint operator D^E into selfadjoint operators D_i^E , defined in M_i by suitable boundary conditions on N , such that

$$\operatorname{ind} D_{1,+}^E + \operatorname{ind} D_{2,+}^E = \operatorname{ind} D_+^E. \quad (1.2)$$

This question was answered in the affirmative by Atiyah, Patodi, and Singer [APS] who formulated the correct boundary conditions (cf. Sec. 2 for details). More importantly, the resulting index formula (2.7) displayed a new spectral invariant of selfadjoint elliptic operators (defined on N), which they called the η -invariant. It is not locally computable by a formula as in (1.1), as can be seen from its behaviour under coverings. Nevertheless, one can ask how the η -

Received 15 October 1996. Revision received 23 June 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 58G20, 58G25, 58G10.

This work supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the GADGET network of the European Union.