

## DEGENERATIONS OF THE HYPERBOLIC SPACE

MLADEN BESTVINA

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathbb{H}^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional hyperbolic space, i.e., the only complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature  $-1$ . A model (the Poincaré model) for  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is the open unit ball

$$\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 < 1\}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Riemannian metric given by  $ds = dx/(1 - r^2)$  at a point at distance  $r$  from the origin. We never use this formula in the paper.

Denote by  $\text{Isom}_+ \mathbb{H}^n$  the Lie group of orientation-preserving isometries of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  (it is isomorphic to  $\text{SO}_0^+(n, 1)$ ). Let  $G$  be a (discrete) group. Any discrete and faithful representation  $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Isom}_+ \mathbb{H}^n$  gives rise to a hyperbolic manifold  $\mathbb{H}^n/\alpha(G)$  and an isomorphism  $G \approx \pi_1(\mathbb{H}^n/\alpha(G))$  that is well defined up to conjugation (the isomorphism depends on the choice of basepoint). If  $\beta: G \rightarrow \text{Isom}_+ \mathbb{H}^n$  is a conjugate of  $\alpha$ , i.e., for some  $h \in \text{Isom}_+ \mathbb{H}^n$   $\beta(g) = h^{-1}\alpha(g)h$  ( $g \in G$ ), then the hyperbolic manifolds  $\mathbb{H}^n/\alpha(G)$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n/\beta(G)$  are isometric, and the isometry induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups that commutes (up to conjugation) with the preferred isomorphisms  $G \approx \pi_1(\mathbb{H}^n/\alpha(G))$  and  $G \approx \pi_1(\mathbb{H}^n/\beta(G))$ . Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{H}^n(G)$  of “homotopy  $n$ -hyperbolic structures” on  $G$  can be defined as

(1) the set of conjugacy classes of discrete and faithful representations  $G \rightarrow \text{Isom}_+ \mathbb{H}^n$ ; or

(2) the set of equivalence classes of pairs  $(M, \phi)$ , where  $M$  is a hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism between  $G$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  defined up to conjugation (pairs  $(M, \phi)$  and  $(N, \Psi)$  are equivalent if there is an isometry  $f: M \rightarrow N$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_1(M) & \\ & \uparrow & \\ G & & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \pi_1(N) & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ f_{\#} \\ \\ \end{array}$$

commutes up to conjugation); or

(3) the set of equivalence classes of pairs  $(M, \phi)$ , where  $M$  is a hyperbolic  $n$ -manifold and  $\phi$  is a homotopy equivalence  $K(G, 1) \rightarrow M$  (pairs  $(M, \phi)$  and

Received March 21, 1987. Supported in part by NSF Grant DMS 8513582.