

CYCLIC HOMOLOGY OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

MARIUSZ WODZICKI

To Dear Yuri Ivanovich on His Fiftieth Birthday

1. Let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ denote the \mathcal{k} -algebra of differential operators on a smooth manifold X in one of the following categories: algebraic, holomorphic or C^∞ . In the first case X has to be an affine variety over the ground field \mathcal{k} of characteristic zero, in the second case a Stein manifold ($\mathcal{k} = \mathbb{C}$), assumed, for simplicity, to possess finitely many connected components, and in the last case a compact C^∞ -manifold (possibly with boundary or nonorientable; $\mathcal{k} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}). The purpose of this article is to determine Hochschild and cyclic homology of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ denoted, respectively, $H_*(\mathcal{D}(X), \mathcal{D}(X))$ and $HC_*(\mathcal{D}(X))$. In the holomorphic and C^∞ settings, $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is naturally a locally convex algebra with respect to $\hat{\otimes}_\pi$ -tensor product, and the groups above mean the corresponding *topological* homology groups. For basic definitions and properties of cyclic homology see [5] and for basics on locally convex homological algebra consult [4] and [7].

2. THEOREM.

$$H_q(\mathcal{D}(X), \mathcal{D}(X)) \simeq H_{\text{DR}}^{2n-q}(X) \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}; n = \dim X). \quad (1)$$

3. THEOREM.

$$HC_q(\mathcal{D}(X)) \simeq H_{\text{DR}}^{2n-q}(X) \oplus H_{\text{DR}}^{2n-q+2}(X) \oplus H_{\text{DR}}^{2n-q+4}(X) \oplus \dots \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2)$$

4. Remark. In proof of the holomorphic case of Theorem 3 we shall assume, for simplicity, that $H_{\text{DR}}^*(X)$ is finite-dimensional; the similar condition automatically holds in the two remaining cases.

The isomorphisms in (1) are canonical and functorial with respect to embeddings of codimension zero. The proof of Theorem 3 which is presented below will provide similarly functorial isomorphisms in (2), for $q \geq 2n - 1$. The existence of *canonical* isomorphisms in the “unstable” range $q < 2n - 1$ can be proved as well, at least in C^∞ case, but requires stronger means (cf. Remarks 8 and 13.1 below).

Received December 19, 1986. Supported by the National Science Foundation Grant No. DMS-8610730(1).