

COVARIANT REPRESENTATIONS ON THE CALKIN ALGEBRA II

CLAUDE SCHOCHET

To my father, on his 65th birthday.

1. Introduction. Let G be a separable metrizable compact group and suppose given a C^* -algebra A and a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ written $g \rightsquigarrow a^g$ (with $a^{gh} = (a^h)^g$). Define

$$A_G = \{a \in A \mid \text{the function } g \mapsto a^g \text{ is continuous}\}.$$

Then A_G is a C^* -algebra. The examples of prime interest to us arise as follows. Let $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(L^2(G, l_2))$ denote the left regular representation of G with infinite multiplicity. Let $T^g = \lambda_g T \lambda_g^*$. The resulting C^* -algebra \mathcal{L}_G contains the compact operators \mathcal{K} . It is smaller than \mathcal{L} (unless G is finite). Similarly, G acts on the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}$ and one obtains \mathcal{Q}_G . It is evident that $\mathcal{L}_G/\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_G$.

In [7] various general properties of \mathcal{L}_G and \mathcal{Q}_G were found. The present paper is devoted to extending those results and to exploring other related topics.

To be more specific, section 2 is devoted to lifting theorems. When can some $a \in \mathcal{Q}_G$ with specified properties be lifted to some $A \in \mathcal{L}_G$ with the same properties? Section 3 is concerned with G -automorphisms and centralizers. In particular, we show that the centralizer of \mathcal{Q}_G in \mathcal{Q} is trivial and that G -automorphisms of \mathcal{L}_G and \mathcal{Q}_G are inner whenever there is a realistic hope that they could be. In section 4, working under the added assumption that G is compact abelian, we prove that \mathcal{L}_G is isomorphic to the crossed product algebra of the dual group of G acting upon the fixed elements of \mathcal{L}_G . This yields information about the invariant ideals in \mathcal{L}_G .

The C^* -algebra \mathcal{L}_G has appeared as the norm-continuous part of a C^* - G -system, in analogy with von Neumann algebra systems; a great deal is known (when G is abelian) in that setting [6, 9, 11]. In particular, Olesen and Pedersen have generalized our theorems in section 4 to locally compact abelian groups [10].

It is a pleasure to acknowledge useful conversations and helpful suggestions from William Arveson, Greg Bachelis, Ed Effros, Marc Rieffel, Bert Schreiber, Masamichi Takesaki, and especially Richard Loeb, our collaborator in the first of these papers. Lee Rubel suggested that there might be a relation between our work and that of DeLeeuw. He was correct, but we realized this only after DeLeeuw's death. Philip Green has been extremely helpful in saving us from

Received April 25, 1979. Revision received February 23, 1980. Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.