## ON CENTRALLY SPLITTING

## ROBERT L. BERNHARDT

Let 3 be a torsion-torsionfree (TTF) class, in the sense of Jans [5], in the category of left modules over a ring R. Then 3 is both a torsion class for some torsionfree class  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and 3 is a torsionfree class for a torsion class  $\mathfrak{C}$ . After Kurata [6], we shall speak of the TTF *theory* ( $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{I}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ ) in this situation.

In this note we are interested in when the TTF theory ( $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{F}$ ) is centrally splitting. Several equivalent conditions for centrally splitting are known [3]; three in particular are that  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{F}$ , that the C-torsion submodule  $R_c$  of R is generated by a central idempotent, and that R is the direct sum of its two torsion submodules,  $R = R_c \bigoplus R_t$ . We present here two more equivalences for centrally splitting; the second result (Theorem 3) is for an arbitrary hereditary torsion class and so is somewhat stronger than usual. Sandwiched between these facts is an investigation of the question: If the 3-torsion submodule  $R_t$  of R is generated by a central idempotent, then is ( $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{F}$ ) centrally splitting? The answer is no, in general; but it is yes if  $\mathfrak{I}$  is the smallest torsion class containing  $R_t$ .

In the category  $_{R}\mathfrak{M}$  of left modules over a ring R, a class 3 is called a *torsion* class provided 3 is closed under homomorphic images, extensions, and direct sums. We call a torsion class *hereditary* if it is also closed under submodules and *stable* if it is closed under injective envelopes. The torsionfree class  $\mathfrak{F}$  associated with the torsion class 3 is  $\mathfrak{F} = \{M \ \mathfrak{e} \ _{R}\mathfrak{M} \mid \text{Hom} (T, M) = 0 \text{ for all } T \ \mathfrak{e} 3\}$ . The torsion class 5 is hereditary if and only if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is closed under injective envelopes, and the hereditary torsion class is a TTF class if and only if it is closed under direct products. These definitions and results are due to Dickson [4] and Jans [5]. We prefer to refer the uninitiated reader to these sources and to the paper [3] for the fundamental facts on torsion and TTF theories rather than to try to condense that material here.

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let  $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{F})$  be a TTF theory. Then  $\mathfrak{I}$  is centrally splitting if and only if  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed under essential extensions and  $R_{\iota}$  is a (module) direct summand of R.

*Proof.* We need only consider the case where  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed under essential extensions and  $R = R_{\iota} \bigoplus R'$ . Then  $R/R' \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{I}$  so that  $R_{\varepsilon} \leq R'$ . But  $R' \mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{I}$ ; hence, if  $0 \neq x \mathfrak{e} R'$ , then  $0 \neq R_{\varepsilon} x \leq R_{\varepsilon}$ . Thus  $Rx \cap R_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$  so that R' is an essential extension of  $R_{\varepsilon}$ . Thus  $R_{\varepsilon} = R'$  so that  $\mathfrak{I}$  is centrally splitting.

Let  $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{F})$  be a TTF theory. If  $R_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is simply a module direct summand of R, then  $\mathfrak{I}$  need not be centrally splitting. An example may be constructed in the ring  $R = UT_2(K)$  of 2 by 2 upper triangular matrices over a field K. Let

Received August 16, 1973.