

# ON THE EXISTENCE OF NONTRIVIAL RECURRENT MOTIONS

ROBERT J. SACKER AND GEORGE R. SELL

**1. Introduction.** Consider a flow  $\pi : X \times R \rightarrow X$  on a compact connected metric space  $X$  and let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(X)$  denote the collection of all minimal closed invariant subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$  be a distinguished subcollection of minimal sets. We wish to find conditions on the flow  $\pi$  which imply that  $\mathfrak{J} \neq \mathfrak{M}$ . For example if  $\mathfrak{J}$  is the collection of all stationary points of  $\pi$ , then under what conditions does there exist a nontrivial recurrent motion, i.e., a minimal set that is not a stationary point? In general let us refer to those minimal sets in  $\mathfrak{J}$  as being *trivial* and those, if any, in  $\mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{J}$  as *nontrivial*.

Recall that in the case  $X$  is a compact 2-dimensional manifold, the manner in which stationary points are joined by transit orbits plays a crucial role in determining the existence of periodic orbits [3]. An orbit  $\gamma(x) = \{\pi(x, t) : t \in R\}$  is a transit orbit if the limit sets  $\alpha_x$  and  $\omega_x$  are stationary points  $P$  and  $Q$ .

In the above case the stationary points  $P$  and  $Q$  are examples of two minimal sets that are *l-related*, a concept which we now define for a general flow. We shall say that two minimal sets  $P$  and  $Q$  are *l-related* (limit set related) if there is an  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\alpha_x \cap P \neq \emptyset$  and  $\omega_x \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  or vice versa. We use this to define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathfrak{J}$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two minimal sets in  $\mathfrak{J}$ . We shall say that  $P \sim Q$  if there exist minimal sets  $\{M_0, \dots, M_k\}$  in  $\mathfrak{J}$  such that  $P = M_0$ ,  $Q = M_k$  and  $M_{i-1}$  and  $M_i$  are *l-related*. Clearly  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathfrak{J}$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{J}\} = \{\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots\}$  be the partitioning of  $\mathfrak{J}$  into equivalence classes under  $\sim$ , i.e., two minimal sets  $P$  and  $Q$  belong to the same equivalence class  $\mathcal{O}_i$  if and only if  $P \sim Q$ . Note that if  $\mathfrak{J}$  contains a finite number of minimal sets, then  $\text{card } \{\mathfrak{J}\}$ , the number of equivalence classes in  $\{\mathfrak{J}\}$ , is finite.

We will prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a finite subcollection of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If  $\text{card } \{\mathfrak{J}\} \geq 2$ , then there is a nontrivial minimal set in  $\mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{J}$ .*

This is clearly equivalent to the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $K$  be an invariant continuum in  $X$  and let  $\mathfrak{M}(K)$  be the collection of all minimal closed invariant subsets of  $K$ , and assume that  $\mathfrak{M}(K) = \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  is finite. Then for all  $i, j$  one has  $M_i \sim M_j$ .*

We have already seen one interpretation of Theorem 1 in the case that  $\mathfrak{J}$  is the collection of all stationary points for the flow  $\pi$ . Another interpretation

Received December 11, 1972. Revisions received May 24, 1973. The first author was supported in part by the United States Army under Contract DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G14. The second author was supported in part by NSF Grants No. 27275 and GP-38955.