

FREE ACTIONS OF Z_4 ON S^3

BY P. M. RICE

Introduction. In [1], G. R. Livesay proves that every fixed-point-free homeomorphism on S^3 with period 2 is equivalent to the antipodal map. The object of this paper is to prove the

THEOREM. *Every free action of Z_4 on S^3 is equivalent to the orthogonal action.*

Z_4 is the cyclic group of order 4 and to say that it acts freely on S^3 means that each $g \in Z_4$ is a fixed-point-free homeomorphism of S^3 . This theorem characterizes those 3-manifolds which have S^3 as universal covering space and Z_4 as fundamental group.

Equivariant general position.

LEMMA. *If the finite group G acts freely and simplicially on the closed, combinatorial manifold M and P is a subpolyhedron of M invariant under a subgroup H of G , then there is an arbitrarily small isotopy of M which takes P onto Q such that for each $g \in G/H$, Q is in general position with respect to $g(Q)$. Moreover, Q is invariant under H .*

This is probably well known. The proof consists of using general position isotopies in small neighborhoods, and copying that isotopy in the images of those neighborhoods under G . An equivariant subdivision may be necessary at the beginning.

Proof of the theorem. Since Z_4 acts freely on S^3 , S^3/Z_4 is a closed manifold and S^3 is its universal covering space. S^3/Z_4 may be triangulated and this triangulation lifted to S^3 . The action of Z_4 on S^3 is the deck transformation of the covering space, and hence is simplicial. Let $T \in Z_4$ be a generator. Then T^2 is an involution of S^3 without fixed points and is, by [1], equivalent to the antipodal map. It will be assumed, therefore, that T^2 is the antipodal map. Let S be a locally flat, polyhedral 2-sphere in S^3 invariant under T^2 . By the lemma, it is assumed that S is in general position with respect to TS . Then $S \cap TS$ is a collection $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$ of disjoint simple closed curves. $n \neq 0$ by the following argument: Let E^+ and E^- be the closed complementary domains of S in S^3 . $n = 0$ means $TS \subset \text{int } E^+$ or $TS \subset \text{int } E^-$. If $TS \subset \text{int } E^+$ then $TE^+ \subset \text{int } E^+$ or $TE^- \subset \text{int } E^+$. $TE^+ \subset \text{int } E^+$ implies $T^2E^+ = E^- \subset TE^+ \subset \text{int } E^+$ which is a contradiction. $TE^+ \subset \text{int } E^-$ implies $T^2E^- = E^+ \subset T(\text{int } E^+)$, so $TE^- \subset T(\text{int } E^+)$ so $E^- \subset \text{int } E^+$, which is also a contradiction. Similarly TS cannot be contained in $\text{int } E^-$, therefore $S \cap TS \neq \emptyset$. C divides

Received February 20, 1968. This research supported by NSF Contract GP 66016.