

**A NOTE ON DIMINISHING THE UNDECIDABLE REGION
OF A RECURSIVELY ENUMERABLE SET**

BY ROBERT A. DI PAOLA

1. Introduction. As in [2] and [3], by a “theory T ” we mean a consistent re (recursively enumerable) extension of Peano arithmetic P ; and by a “pair (T, α) ” we mean such a theory T together with an RE-formula α which represents the axioms of T in Peano arithmetic P . In [2] and [3] the process of enlarging the pseudo-complement $\{N_\alpha(n)\}$ of an re set $S = \{n\}$ or, what is the same thing, of diminishing the undecidable region $\bar{S} - \{N_\alpha(n)\}$ of S with respect to the index n by adjunction to T of undecidable sentences of the form $x \notin \{n\}$ was discussed (cf. also [1; 128]). Given any pair (T, α) , it is an elementary fact that if $S = \{m\} = \{n\}$, the sets $\{N_\alpha(m)\}$ and $\{N_\alpha(n)\}$, and hence the undecidable regions $\bar{S} - \{N_\alpha(n)\}$ and $\bar{S} - \{N_\alpha(m)\}$, can differ greatly. What is more, for suitable m and n , with $\{m\} = S = \{n\}$, if $x \in (\bar{S} - \{N_\alpha(m)\}) \cap (\bar{S} - \{N_\alpha(n)\})$, the consequences of adding $x \notin \{m\}$ or $x \notin \{n\}$ to T as new axioms can be very different. In this note we limit examination of these divers consequences to two theorems, which do, nevertheless, show how disparate are the possibilities. We shall prove:

(1) If S is any re set and A is any re subset of \bar{S} , then there is an index n of S such that

- (a) for all $x \in \bar{S}$, $x \notin \{n\}$ is undecidable;
- (b) for all $y \in \bar{S} - A$ and $x \in A$, $\vdash_T y \notin \{n\} \rightarrow x \notin \{n\}$ but not $\vdash_T x \notin \{n\} \rightarrow y \notin \{n\}$.

(2) If C is any creative set, then there is an index n of C such that whenever $x \in \bar{C} - \{N_\alpha(n)\}$ there can be found a y such that

- (a) $y \in \bar{C} - \{N_\alpha(n)\}$;
- (b) $\vdash_T x \in \{n\} \rightarrow y \notin \{n\}$, and
- (c) not $\vdash_T y \notin \{n\} \rightarrow x \notin \{n\}$.

2. Background and notation. Our notation for notions of the theory of recursive functions is drawn from [1]. For the notation, basic definitions, and results concerning pseudo-complements we refer the reader to papers [2] and [3], of which we shall make frequent use. With respect to the function \wedge of [3], we shall write $z_1 \wedge z_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_k$ for $((\cdots (z_1 \wedge z_2) \wedge z_3) \wedge z_4 \cdots) \wedge z_k$. Throughout the remainder, it is assumed that T is weakly ω -consistent.

Received September 26, 1966; in revised form March 8, 1968. Preparation of this paper was sponsored in part by the Office of Naval Research. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.