## SOME MEASURE-THEORETIC ASPECTS OF RANGE-EQUIVALENCE

By Allen Reiter

1. Introduction. Let $X$ and $Y$ each denote the closed unit interval, and let $f(X)=Y$ be a continuous function. A mapping $g$ is said to be range-equivalent to $f(R$-equivalent, or simply $g \sim f(R)$,) if there exists an order-preserving homeomorphism $\phi$ of $Y$ onto itself such that $g=\phi \circ f$. In [2], the author has characterized the $R$-equivalence classes of $C^{k}$ functions. Here we consider various other $R$-equivalence classes, leading to a characterization of the $R$ equivalence class of absolutely continuous functions.

## 2. Preliminaries.

2.1. We shall denote by $m$ the Lebesgue measure on the real line.
2.2. If $f$ is a function from $X$ into $Y$, and $y \varepsilon Y$, then $f^{-1}(y)$ will denote the set of all $x \in X$ such that $f(x)=y$.
2.3. Let $f(X)=Y$ be a mapping. Denote by $s_{f}$ the "counting" function on $Y$; i.e.
(2.3-1) $s_{f}(y)=$ "number of points (finite or infinite) in $f^{-1}(y)$ ". By [3; IX, Theorem 6.4], $s_{f}$ is a measurable function. We shall also define a counting function $S$, on the domain $X$; i.e., for all $x \varepsilon X$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{f}(x)=s_{f}(f(x)) . \tag{2.3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not hard to verify that $S_{f}$ is also a measurable function.
2.4. The following theorem is due to Nina Bary [1; 635, Theorem III].

Theorem 1. Let $f(X)=Y$ be a continuous function. In order for $f$ to be $R$-equivalent to a function of bounded variation it is necessary and sufficient that every open interval $J \subset Y$ intersect the set of points on which $s_{f}$ is finite in an uncountable set.
2.5. A function $f$ is said to satisfy Lusin's condition ( $N$ ) if $f$ takes sets of measure zero into sets of measure zero. This condition plays a fundamental role in the theory of absolutely continuous functions. Every AC function satisfies condition $N$; the converse is generally false. If however $f$ is a continuous function of bounded variation defined on a compact set, then the fact that $f$
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