

RECURRENT PROPERTIES OF CONSERVATIVE MEASURABLE TRANSFORMATIONS

*Dedicated to the memory of Mr. Wong Shou-Shiu, late mathematics and science
teacher, Pui Ying Middle School, Canton, China*

BY C. T. TAAM

Consider a fixed measure space (X, M, m) , i.e., a set X together with a given sigma—algebra M of subsets of X and a measure m defined on M . The subsets of X belonging to M will be called measurable. In this note T is always a measurable transformation of X into itself, which means, by definition, that the inverse image $T^{-1}(A)$ of a set A is measurable whenever A is measurable. It is not assumed that T is either one-to-one or has a measurable inverse. We shall call T compressible if there exists a measurable set A such that $A \subset T^{-1}A$ and $m(T^{-1}A - A) > 0$; in the contrary case T is called incompressible. T is called recurrent if, for every measurable set E , almost every point of E returns to E under the action of T ; strongly recurrent if, for every measurable set E , almost every point of E returns to E infinitely many times under the action of T .

In this note we shall establish the following:

THEOREM 1. *T is incompressible if and only if T^n is incompressible, n being any positive integer.*

The implication of this result is interesting. It is very easy to see from the definition of incompressibility and the Recurrence Theorem that T is incompressible if and only if T is recurrent [1; 10–11]. But if T is incompressible, and if $T^2, T^3, \dots, T^n, \dots$ are also incompressible, then T is strongly recurrent [1; 10–11]. Hence Theorem 1, together with the above remarks, implies the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Whenever T has one of the following properties:*

- (i) *incompressibility,*
 - (ii) *recurrence,*
 - (iii) *strong recurrence,*
- $T, T^2, T^3, \dots, T^n, \dots$ have all these properties.*

F. B. Wright [3], [4] has independently established that the incompressibility of T implies the strong recurrence of T without proving the incompressibility of the powers of T . His proof is elegant, however it yields no information about T^n .

Received October 13, 1960. Presented to the American Mathematical Society, January 27, 1960.