

## A MILDLY WILD IMBEDDING OF AN $n$ -FRAME

BY HANS DEBRUNNER AND RALPH FOX

**1. Introduction.** An  $n$ -frame  $D$  in Euclidean three-dimensional space  $R$  consists of  $n$  simple arcs  $a_i = op_i$ ,  $i \equiv 1, \dots, n \pmod{n}$  which are pairwise disjoint except for their common end point  $o$ . In accordance with the definition given in [1] an  $n$ -frame  $D$  is said to be *tamely imbedded in  $R$*  if there is a homeomorphism of  $R$  upon itself that transforms  $D$  into a polygonal  $n$ -frame, say into the *standard  $n$ -frame* defined in cylindrical coordinates by  $\rho \leq 1$ ,  $n\theta/2\pi$  integral,  $z = 0$ ; if there is no such homeomorphism,  $D$  is said to be *wildly imbedded*.

If we delete from  $D$  all of the  $i$ -th arc  $a_i$  except the end point  $o$  we get an  $(n - 1)$ -frame that we will denote by  $D_i$ . It is not difficult to construct a wildly imbedded  $n$ -frame  $D$  such that each of the derived  $(n - 1)$ -frames  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  is also wildly imbedded. The object of this paper is to give an example of a wildly imbedded  $n$ -frame  $D$  each of whose derived  $(n - 1)$ -frames  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  is tamely imbedded. The wildness of the imbedding of such an  $n$ -frame is therefore rather mild. A mildly wild 2-frame was given in [1], and a mildly wild 3-frame was attempted in [2]. However the proof in [2] that the triod (= 3-frame)  $T'$  is wildly imbedded is incorrect because it is based on an incorrect presentation of the group  $G_p$ . A corrected presentation of  $G_p$  has the relations (A), (B) and (C) as given in [2; 265] and relations

$$(V_1) \quad c_k b_k a_k = 1 \quad \text{for } k \text{ even}$$

$$(V_2) \quad a_k b_k c_k = 1 \quad \text{for } k \text{ odd}$$

$$(V_3) \quad c_{k+1} a_{k+1} b_k = 1 \quad \text{for } k \text{ odd.}$$

Unfortunately with the corrected presentation it is easy to show that both  $\pi(F'_n - T')$  and its image in  $\pi(F'_1 - T')$  are free of rank 2, so wildness of the imbedding of  $T'$  cannot be concluded by this method. In fact an autohomeomorphism of  $R$  that carries Doyle's triod [2] onto a standard triod has been constructed by R. H. Bing.

Although the method of proof of wildness used in [2] does not work for the example in [2], it is a valid method and is the basis of our proof of the wildness of our example. Our example of a mildly wild  $n$ -frame is closely related to and was suggested by examples, of the type considered by H. Brunn [3], of  $n$  interlocked rings that fall completely apart when any one of them is dissolved.

Received September 30, 1959. This work was done while the first author was a Swiss National Foundation Visiting Fellow at Princeton University.