# CONTRACTIONS IN A HYPERBOLIC SPACE 

By W. Gustin and J. A. Sullivan

In this paper we prove two known function theoretic results, namely, Julia's theorem and Wolff's theorem, in an abstract metric setting. Both these theorems concern fixed points of a holomorphic function $f$ mapping an open circle of the full complex plane into itself. If we consider such an open circle as a conformal Klein model of hyperbolic plane geometry, then, according to Schwarz's lemma or Pick's theorem, the mapping $f$ is either a motion or a contraction. We abstract certain purely metric properties of hyperbolic plane geometry with compactifying ideal points adjoined and call any space possessing these properties a hyperbolic space. In such a space Wolff's theorem may be formulated as follows: Let $f$ be a contraction of a hyperbolic space into itself; there then exists a point, which may be either an ordinary point in the space or an ideal point adjoined to it, to which every orbit of $f$ converges. Julia's theorem in abstract form is used in proving this result.

Hyperbolic space. A metric space which becomes sequentially compact after certain ideal limit points have been adjoined to it will be called a hyperbolic space if its distance function satisfies the following conditions with regard to approach by ordinary points in the space to the adjoined ideal limit points: an ideal point is infinitely far from an ordinary point; two distinct ideal points are infinitely far apart; distances from two ordinary points to an ideal point can be unambiguously compared; an ideal point is in comparison infinitely farther from another ideal point than from an ordinary point.

This introductory description of a hyperbolic space is amplified in more detail below.

Let $H$ and $H^{\cdot}$ be disjoint abstract sets with union $H^{*}$. The elements of $H^{*}$ will be called points; when we want to be more specific we call a point in $H$ ordinary and a point in $H^{\cdot}$ ideal. A given point $x^{*} \varepsilon H^{*}$ is then either ordinary or ideal: if $x^{*} \varepsilon H$ we write $x^{*}=x$, and if $x^{*} \varepsilon H^{\cdot}$ we write $x^{*}=x^{*}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be a set of pairs $\left(\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}, x^{*}\right)$, where $x^{*} \varepsilon H^{*}$ and $\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}$ represents a sequence of ordinary points $x_{\nu} \varepsilon H$ as $\nu$ runs in order over the set $N$ of all natural numbers $0,1,2, \cdots$. We shall write $x_{\nu} \rightarrow x^{*}$ by way of abbreviating the statement $\left(\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}, x^{*}\right) \varepsilon \mathbb{R}$ and say that the sequence $\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}$ converges to $x^{*}$ and that $x^{*}$ is a limit point of $\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}$. An infinite subset $N^{\prime}$ of $N$, indicated hereafter by the notation $N^{\prime} \prec N$, selects from any given sequence $\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}$ a certain subsequence which we denote by $\left\{x_{\nu}\right.$ via $\left.N^{\prime}\right\}$; for convenience we write $x_{\nu} \rightarrow x^{*}$ via $N^{\prime}$ instead of $x_{\nu}$ via $N^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{*}$. Note that $\left\{x_{\nu}\right.$ via $\left.N\right\}$ is the same sequence as $\left\{x_{\nu}\right\}$ and that $x_{\nu} \rightarrow x^{*}$ via $N$ means the same as $x_{\nu} \rightarrow x^{*}$. The set of all subsequential limit points of a
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