

## CORRECTION TO "A PROPERTY OF HAUSDORFF MEASURE"

BY H. G. EGGLESTON

It has been pointed out by Mr. H. D. Ursell that the sets  $A$  and  $B$  do not satisfy  $\text{H.m.A} = \alpha$ ,  $\text{h.m.B} = \beta$ . The error occurs on page 496 after equation (16). This should read,

If  $\lambda_r \leq d(Q) < a_{nr-1}$ ,  $r > 1$ , then  $Q$  may be included in a cube  $Q_1$  whose side-length is  $dQ$ , and

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{A}_r(Q)} H(d) < H(a_{nr})(d(Q)N_{nr}/a_{nr-1}N_{nr-1})^n((1 - r^{-2})^{-1} + 1)^n.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \text{Upper bound} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{dQ \leq \delta} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}_n(Q)} H(d)/H(d(Q)) \right\} \right\} \leq 2^n.$$

By the argument of Lemma 1, one may prove that if the conditions (i)–(iv) of Lemma 1 hold and for any set  $Q$  with  $d(Q) > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \text{Upper bound} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{dQ \leq \delta} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}_n(Q)} R(d)/R(d(Q)) \right\} \right\} \leq M \quad (M > 1)$$

then  $\alpha \geq \text{h.m.P} \geq \alpha/M$ .

Thus  $\infty > \text{H.m.B} > 0$ ,  $\infty > \text{h.m.A} > 0$ .

Let  $U$  be the translation which transforms  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  into  $(x_1 + 1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ; let  $A'$  be the set  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U^n(A)$  and  $A(y)$  the subset of those points of  $A'$  whose first coordinate satisfies  $x_1 \leq y$ .  $\text{H.m.A}(y)$  is a continuous function of  $y$ . For at least one value of  $y$ , say  $y_0$ ,  $\text{H.m.A}(y) = \alpha$ . Define  $A(y_0)$  to be  $A_1$ . Similarly define  $B_1$ . These two sets satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.

Mr. Ursell has also shown by a more refined argument that the condition on the measure function, " $x^n/h(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ ," is unnecessary.

### REFERENCE

1. H. G. EGGLESTON, *A Property of Hausdorff Measure*, this Journal, vol. 17(1950), pp. 491–498.

Received April 20, 1951.