## CONVERGENCE IN AREA

## BY TIBOR RADÓ

## Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is to establish an extension of an important result on *convergence in length*. In a closed interval  $I$ , let there be given continuous functions  $f(x)$ ,  $f_n(x)$ , such that  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  uniformly in I. Let  $L(f, I)$ ,  $L(f_n, I)$ denote the length of the curves  $y = f(x)$ ,  $y = f_n(x)$ ,  $x \in I$ , respectively. Let  $V(f, I), V(f_n, I)$  be the total variations of the functions  $f(x)$ ,  $f_n(x)$  respectively in the interval I. Suppose that  $L(f, I) < \infty$ ,  $L(f_n, I) < \infty$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Adams and Lewy  $[1]$  have established the theorem: *if, in addition to the condi*tions already stated, we have the relation  $L(f_n, I) \to L(f, I)$ , then it follows that  $V(f_n, I) \to V(f, I)$ . This interesting result can be extended readily to curves given in general parametric form. Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  be Cartesian coordinates in Euclidean three-space. We shall use  $\mathfrak x$  to denote the vector with components  $[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ . Similarly, if  $x_1(u), x_2(u), x_3(u)$  are continuous functions on an interval I:  $a \leq u \leq b$ , then  $\mathfrak{r}(u)$  denotes the vector function with components  $[x_1(u), x_2(u), x_3(u)]$ . Now let there be given, on a fixed interval  $I: a \le u \le b$ , continuous vector functions  $\mathfrak{r}(u)$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}_n(u)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then the equations  $\mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{x}(u), \mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{x}_n(u), u \in I$ , may be considered as representations of curves C,  $C_n$ . Let us consider the curve

$$
C: \t\mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{x}(u) \t\t\t (u \mathfrak{e} I).
$$

We associate with C three curves  $C^1$ ,  $C^2$ ,  $C^3$  defined as follows:

$$
C^i: \qquad \mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{x}_i(u) \qquad (u \in I),
$$

where  $r_1(u)$ ,  $r_2(u)$ ,  $r_3(u)$  are the vector functions with components [x<sub>1</sub>(u), 0, 0],  $[0, x_2(u), 0]$ ,  $[0, 0, x_3(u)]$  and, of course,  $[x_1(u), x_2(u), x_3(u)]$  are the components of  $\mathfrak{r}(u)$ . The curves  $C^i$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , may be considered as the projections of C upon the coordinate axes. Let  $L(\mathfrak{x}, I)$  be the length of C, and similarly let  $L(\mathfrak{x}_i, I)$  be the length of  $C^i$ . Clearly,  $L(\mathfrak{x}_i, I)$  is merely the total variation in I of the component  $x_i(u)$  of  $\mathfrak{x}(u)$ . Let the symbols  $C_n^i$ ,  $L(\mathfrak{x}_n, I)$ ,  $L(\mathfrak{x}_{ni}, I)$  have analogous meaning relative to the curves  $C_n : \mathfrak{x} = \mathfrak{x}_n(u), u \in I$ . Suppose that  $L(\mathfrak{x}, I) < \infty$ ,  $L(\mathfrak{x}, I) < \infty$ . We have then the following plausible generalization of the theorem of Adams and Lewy: if  $\mathfrak{x}_n(u) \to \mathfrak{x}(u)$  uniformly on I and  $L(\mathfrak{x}_n, I) \to L(\mathfrak{x}, I)$ , then  $L(\mathfrak{x}_{ni}, I) \to L(\mathfrak{x}_i, I), j = 1, 2, 3$  (see [3]). It is natural to ask if analogous theorems hold for surfaces. The case of surfaces given in  $L(\mathfrak{x}_n, I) \to L(\mathfrak{x}, I)$ , then  $L(\mathfrak{x}_n, I) \to L(\mathfrak{x}_i, I)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  (see [3]). It is natural to ask if analogous theorems hold for surfaces. The case of surfaces given in

Received April 24, 1948; presented to the American Mathemutical Society at the meeting in Madison, Wisconsin, September 1948.