

# ABEL TRANSFORMS OF TAUBERIAN SERIES

BY RALPH PALMER AGNEW

1. **Introduction.** Let  $u_0 + u_1 + \dots$  be a series of complex terms satisfying the Tauberian condition

$$(1.1) \quad n |u_n| < K \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let  $\sigma(t)$  denote the Abel transform of  $\Sigma u_n$  :

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k u_k \quad (0 < t < 1).$$

Let  $L$  denote the set of limit points of the sequence  $s_0, s_1, \dots$  of partial sums of  $\Sigma u_n$ . Let  $L_A$  denote the set of limit points of the Abel transform  $\sigma(t)$ ;  $z'' \in L_A$  if there is a sequence  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  such that  $0 < t_n < 1, t_n \rightarrow 1$ , and  $\sigma(t_n) \rightarrow z''$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\sigma(t) \rightarrow \sigma$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ , then  $\Sigma u_n$  is summable to  $\sigma$  by Abel's method  $A$ ; but it is not assumed that  $\Sigma u_n$  is summable  $A$ .

Hadwiger [1] proved that each of the following assertions is true when

$$(1.3) \quad \rho = 1.0160 \dots$$

and false when

$$(1.4) \quad \rho < .4858 \dots$$

**ASSERTION 1.1.** *If  $\Sigma u_n$  is a series satisfying the Tauberian condition  $n |u_n| < K$ , then to each  $z' \in L$  corresponds a  $z'' \in L_A$  such that*

$$(1.5) \quad |z' - z''| \leq \rho \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n |u_n|.$$

**ASSERTION 1.2.** *If  $\Sigma u_n$  is a series satisfying the Tauberian condition  $n |u_n| < K$ , then to each  $z'' \in L_A$  corresponds a  $z' \in L$  such that (1.5) holds.*

As Hadwiger pointed out, his result implies that  $L = L_A$  when  $\Sigma u_n$  is a series satisfying the Tauberian condition  $nu_n \rightarrow 0$ . A Tauberian theorem of Littlewood [2] states that if  $\Sigma u_n$  satisfies the weaker Tauberian condition (1.1) and  $\Sigma u_n$  is summable  $A$  to  $\sigma$ , then  $\Sigma u_n$  converges to  $\sigma$ . This implies that if  $\Sigma u_n$  satisfies (1.1) and  $L_A$  contains exactly one point, then  $L = L_A$ . Hadwiger's result shows that (1.1) does not imply universal identity of  $L$  and  $L_A$ ; for otherwise the assertions would be true when  $\rho = 0$ .

It is the object of this note to prove the following theorem which gives the least constant  $\rho$  for which Assertion 1.1 is true.

Received August 4, 1944; presented to the American Mathematical Society August 12, 1944.