

AN INVERSION FORMULA FOR THE STIELTJES TRANSFORM

BY HARRY POLLARD

The present treatment of the Stieltjes transform is intended to parallel a recent study of the Laplace integral made by Boas and Widder [2]. The underlying idea, suggested by [5; 41-43], is to consider the *iterate* of the transform and to apply known methods to this.

Consider, for example, the special Stieltjes transform

$$(1) \quad f(u) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{u+t} dt.$$

An examination of its (formal) iterate

$$g(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(u)}{x+u} du = \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{x+u} \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{u+t} dt$$

suggests that $\varphi(t)$ can be determined by applying a known inversion for the iterated Stieltjes transform to $g(x)$, see [3; 18]. We obtain in this way the result

$$(2) \quad \varphi(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k t^{k-1} \int_0^\infty u^k \frac{\partial^{2k-1}}{\partial u^{2k-1}} \left\{ \frac{u^{2k-1}}{(u+t)^{2k}} \right\} f(u) du,$$

where the $\{e_k\}$ are suitable constants.

Note that the formula (2) requires a knowledge of $f(x)$ on the real axis. Inversion formulas previously given involve $f(x)$ for complex values of the argument, or require a knowledge of the derivatives of $f(x)$. For these and related material we refer the reader to Widder [8; Chapter VIII].

By the same device we obtain new criteria for the representation of a function in the form

$$(3) \quad f(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha(t)}{x+t}$$

with $\alpha(t)$ of preassigned type. For example, $f(x)$ has the representation (3) with non-decreasing $\alpha(t)$ if and only if $f(x)$ is continuous for $x > 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$, and

$$(-1)^k \int_0^\infty u^k \frac{\partial^{2k-1}}{\partial u^{2k-1}} \left\{ \frac{u^{2k-1}}{(u+x)^{2k}} \right\} f(u) du \geq 0 \quad (x > 0; k = 2, 3, \dots).$$

We also obtain for the first time a necessary and sufficient condition for the representation of a function $f(x)$ in the most general convergent Stieltjes transform (3).

Received February 8, 1944; presented to the American Mathematical Society April 3, 1942. This paper is a revision of Part II of [6].