## RANDOM ALMS

## BY PAUL R. HALMOS

Syracuse University

1. Statement of the problem. Consider the problem of distributing one pound of gold dust at random among a countably infinite set of beggars. Let the beggars be enumerated and let the procedure for distribution be as follows: the first beggar is given a random portion of the gold; the second beggar gets a random portion of the remainder;  $\cdots$  and so on ad infinitum. In this description the phrase "random portion" occurs an infinite number of times: it seems reasonable to require that it have the same interpretation each time. To be precise: let  $x_i$   $(j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$  be the amount received by the jth beggar. Let the distribution of  $x_0$  be given by a density function  $p(\lambda)$ :

$$(1) p(\lambda) \ge 0, 0 \le \lambda \le 1;$$

(2) 
$$\int_0^1 p(\lambda) d\lambda = 1;$$

(3) 
$$P(a < x_0 < b) = \int_a^b p(\lambda) \, d\lambda, \qquad 0 \le a < b \le 1.$$

After the first beggar has received his alms and the amount of gold dust left is  $\mu$ , (i.e.  $x_0 = 1 - \mu$ ), the value of  $x_1$  will be between 0 and  $\mu$ . The uniformity requirement mentioned above means that the proportion of  $\mu$  that the second beggar is to receive is again determined by the probability density p: in other words the conditional probability that  $x_1$  be between  $\lambda \mu$  and  $(\lambda + d\lambda)\mu$ , given that  $x_0 = 1 - \mu$ , is  $p(\lambda) d\lambda$ . In symbols:

(4) 
$$P(a\mu < x_1 < b\mu \mid x_0 = 1 - \mu) = \int_a^b p(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Writing  $\alpha = \alpha \mu$ ,  $\beta = b\mu$ , (4) becomes

(5) 
$$P(\alpha < x_1 < \beta \mid x_0 = 1 - \mu) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\mu} p\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) d\lambda.$$

More generally I shall assume that the conditional probability distribution of  $x_n$ , assuming that after the preceding donations there is left an amount  $\mu$ , is given in the interval  $(0, \mu)$  by  $\frac{1}{\mu} p\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)$ . In symbols:

(6) 
$$P(a < x_n < b \mid \sum_{j < n} x_j = 1 - \mu) = \int_a^b \frac{1}{\mu} p\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) d\lambda, \quad 0 \le a < b \le \mu.$$

This assumption completely determines (in terms of p) the joint distribution of the whole infinite sequence  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . Several interesting special

www.jstor.org