

Next, let for $n > e$

$$(12) \quad a_n = n \log^{-\eta} n.$$

Then (8) holds and from (9) and (10) we obtain easily for large n

$$(13) \quad b_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \{1 - \log^{-\eta} a_k\} < n - (1 - \epsilon)a_n.$$

Substituting into (7) one sees that, again for sufficiently large n ,

$$(14) \quad \Pr \{S_n - n + (1 - \epsilon)a_n < \epsilon a_n\} \rightarrow 1,$$

or, since $M = 1$,

$$(15) \quad \Pr \{S_n - nM < -(1 - 2\epsilon)a_n\} \rightarrow 1.$$

This proves (I).

A NOTE ON RANK, MULTICOLLINEARITY AND MULTIPLE REGRESSION¹

BY GERHARD TINTNER

Iowa State College

Let $X_{it} (i = 1, 2 \dots M)$ be set of M random variables, each being observed at $t = 1, 2 \dots N$. $X_{it} = M_{it} + y_{it}$. (This is essentially the situation envisaged by Frisch [1]). The systematic part of our variables $M_{it} = EX_{it}$. The y_{it} are normally distributed with means zero. Their variances and covariances are independent of t . The M_{it} and y_{it} are independent of each other. Define $\bar{X}_i = \sum_t X_{it}/N$ the arithmetic mean of X_{it} and $x_{it} = X_{it} - \bar{X}_i$ the deviation from the mean. Then $a_{ij} = \sum_t x_{it}x_{jt}/(N - 1)$ gives the variances and covariances of the observations. We want to determine the rank of the matrix of the variances and covariances of M_{it} .

Now assume that $\|V_{ij}\|$ is an estimate of the variance-covariance matrix of the error terms or "disturbances" y_{it} . The elements of this matrix are distributed according to the Wishart distribution and are independent of the M_{it} . They can be estimated as deviations from polynomial trends, as deviations from Fourier series, by the Variate Difference Method, etc. The estimates could also be based upon a priori knowledge if for instance the y_{it} are interpreted as errors of measurement. Assume that the estimate is based upon N' observations.

¹The author is much obliged to Professors W. G. Cochran (Iowa State College), H. Hotelling (Columbia University), T. Koopmans (University of Chicago) and A. Wald (Columbia University) for advice and criticism with this paper. He has also profited by reading the unpublished paper: "On the Validity of an Estimate from a Multiple Regression Equation" by F. V. Waugh and R. C. Been which deals in part with a problem related to the one presented here.