## NOTES

This section is devoted to brief research and expository articles on methodology and other short items.

## A REMARK ON CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS

By A. Zygmund

University of Pennsylvania

**1.** Let F(x),  $-\infty < x < +\infty$ , be a distribution function, and

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{itx} dF(x)$$

its characteristic function. It is well known that the existence of  $\varphi'(0)$  does not imply the existence of the absolute moment

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x| dF(x).$$

A simple example is provided by the function

$$\varphi(t) = C \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nt}{n^2 \log n},$$

where C is a positive constant. Since the series on the right differentiated term by term converges uniformly (see [1]),  $\varphi'(t)$  exists (and is continuous) for all values of t, and in particular at the point t=0. Obviously  $\varphi(t)$  is the characteristic function of the masses  $C/2n^2\log n$  concentrated at the points  $\pm n$  for  $n=2,3,\cdots$ . The constant C is such that the sum of all the masses is 1. The divergence of the series  $\Sigma 1/n\log n$  implies that in this particular case the moment (1) is infinite.

In a recent paper (see [2], esp. p. 120, footnote), Fortet raises the problem of whether the existence of  $\varphi'(0)$  implies the existence of the first algebraic moment

(2) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \, dF(x) = \lim_{X \to +\infty} \int_{-X}^{X} x \, dF(x).$$

The main purpose of this note is to show that this is so. We shall even prove a slightly more general result.

A function  $\psi(t)$  defined in the neighborhood of a point  $t_0$  is said to be smooth at this point if

$$\lim_{h \to +0} \frac{\psi(t_0 + h) + \psi(t_0 - h) - 2\psi(t_0)}{h} = 0.$$

Clearly, if  $\psi$  has a one-sided derivative at the point  $t_0$ , the derivative on the other side also exists and has the same value. Thus the graph of  $\psi(t)$  has no angular point for  $t=t_0$ , and this explains the terminology. If  $\psi'(t_0)$  exists and is finite,  $\psi(t)$  is smooth for  $t=t_0$ . The converse is obviously false, since any