## ESTIMATION ASSOCIATED WITH LINEAR DISCRIMINANTS

## By SEYMOUR GEISSER

## State University of New York at Buffalo

**1.** Introduction. Suppose we have two p-variate normal populations where  $\Pi_1$  is  $N(\mu_1, \Sigma)$  and  $\Pi_2$  is  $N(\mu_2, \Sigma)$  and sample estimates  $\bar{x}_1$  of  $\mu_1$ ,  $\bar{x}_2$  of  $\mu_2$  based on  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  observations respectively. Further assume we have an independent estimate S of the common covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  based on  $\nu$  degrees of freedom i.e.,  $\nu S$  is Wishart,  $W(\Sigma, \nu)$  and  $\nu = n_1 + n_2 - 2$  if all the information on  $\Sigma$  is supplied by the two samples. Now the samples provide us with a linear discriminant, Anderson [1], page 138,

$$(1.1) V = [z - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2)]' S^{-1}(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)$$

as an estimate of the population discriminant

$$(1.2) U = \left[z - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2)\right]' \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$$

where the new observation z has prior probability  $q_1$  of being from  $\Pi_1$  and  $q_2$  from  $\Pi_2$ ,  $q_1 + q_2 = 1$ . For  $r = q_2/q_1$ ,

(1.3) 
$$U > \log r$$
 assigns  $z$  to  $\Pi_1$ ,  $U < \log r$  assigns  $z$  to  $\Pi_2$ ;

or if  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  and  $\Sigma$  are unknown

(1.4) 
$$V > \log r$$
 assigns  $z$  to  $\Pi_1$ ,  $V < \log r$  assigns  $z$  to  $\Pi_2$ .

There are then three questions that naturally arise here. Firstly, the estimation of the population discriminant U. Secondly the estimation of the true errors of misclassification,  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$ ; and lastly, though most importantly, the estimation of the "index" errors of misclassification,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  i.e., the errors incurred in using the sample discriminant V on future observations. These problems will be investigated by the Bayes approach previously outlined by the author [4], [5], [6], [7]. In essence it is asserted that in the absence of any prior objective knowledge on  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  and  $\Sigma$  it is convenient to assume that the prior density for these parameters may be represented by

(1.5) 
$$g(\mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma^{-1}) \propto |\Sigma|^{(p+1)/2}$$

This leads to

(1.6) 
$$P(\mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma^{-1}) \propto |\Sigma^{-1}|^{(\nu-p+1)/2}$$
  

$$\cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \Sigma^{-1} [\nu S + n_1 (\bar{x}_1 - \mu_1) (\bar{x}_1 - \mu_1)' + n_2 (\bar{x}_2 - \mu_2) (\bar{x}_2 - \mu_2)'] \right\}$$

Received 25 February 1966; revised 9 January 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by NIGMS-NIH Grant 1 R01 GM 14031-01.