

BOUNDED EXPECTED UTILITY

BY PETER C. FISHBURN

Research Analysis Corporation, McLean, Virginia

1. Introduction. The Blackwell-Girshick utility axioms [1], pp. 104–110, apply a preference-indifference relation \leq (“is not preferred to”) to the set \mathcal{P}_d of all discrete probability distributions defined on a set of consequences X . More precisely, with reference to a σ -algebra on X that contains $\{x\}$ for each $x \in X$, \mathcal{P}_d is the set of all countably additive measures on the σ -algebra such that $P(A) = 1$ for some countable set A in the σ -algebra. The first purpose of this paper is to show that the Blackwell-Girshick utility theorem, which can be viewed as an extension of the standard von Neumann-Morgenstern result [3], can be obtained even on weakening their (B-G) denumerable “sure-thing” axiom. The second purpose is to show that versions of the new axiom, which is related to Savage’s *P7* [2], p. 77, can be used in deriving the expected-utility property for other sets of probability measures on X , including general σ -additive measures and finitely-additive measures. Bounded utilities result in all cases considered except for the case where all distributions are simple.

2. The von Neumann-Morgenstern theory. The von Neumann-Morgenstern expected-utility theory serves as the base of our discussion.

Let $\bar{\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)$ when $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. An *abstract convex set* is a set $\mathcal{O} = \{P, Q, R, \dots\}$ and an operation $\alpha P + \bar{\alpha} Q$ associating an element of \mathcal{O} with each fraction in $[0, 1]$ and each ordered pair of elements of \mathcal{O} , such that if $P, Q, R \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ then

1. $1P + 0Q = P$,
2. $\alpha P + \bar{\alpha} Q = \bar{\alpha} Q + \alpha P$,
3. $\alpha(\beta P + \bar{\beta} Q) + \bar{\alpha} Q = \alpha\beta P + (1 - \alpha\beta)Q$.

With \leq a binary relation on \mathcal{O} , let $P < Q \Leftrightarrow [P \leq Q \text{ and not } Q \leq P]$, and $P \sim Q \Leftrightarrow [P \leq Q \text{ and } Q \leq P]$. \leq on \mathcal{O} is a *weak order* if it is transitive and strongly connected ($P, Q \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow P \leq Q \text{ or } Q \leq P$).

The following axioms and theorem (proofs in [3], Appendix, and [2], Chapter 5) form the core of the theory. In all cases $P, Q, R \in \mathcal{O}$.

AXIOM 0. \mathcal{O} is an abstract convex set.

AXIOM 1. \leq on \mathcal{O} is a weak order.

AXIOM 2. $[P \sim (<)Q, \alpha \in (0, 1)] \Rightarrow \alpha P + \bar{\alpha} R \sim (<) \alpha Q + \bar{\alpha} R$.

AXIOM 3. $[P < Q, Q < R] \Rightarrow \alpha P + \bar{\alpha} R < Q \text{ and } Q < \beta P + \bar{\beta} R \text{ for some } \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$.

THEOREM 1. $[Axioms 0, 1, 2, 3] \Rightarrow \text{there is a real function } u \text{ on } \mathcal{O} \text{ such that if } P, Q \in \mathcal{O} \text{ and } \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ then}$

- (1) $u(P) \leq u(Q) \Leftrightarrow P \leq Q$,
- (2) $u(\alpha P + \bar{\alpha} Q) = \alpha u(P) + \bar{\alpha} u(Q)$.

Received 4 March 1965; revised 24 January 1966.