## UNIFORM CONVERGENCE OF FAMILIES OF MARTINGALES1

By N. F. G. MARTIN

The University of Virginia

It is known [3] that under bounded conditions on the expected values of a martingale sequence the martingale will converge almost surely to a random variable and if the rth powers of the absolute values of the random variables in the martingale are uniformly integrable then the martingale will converge in  $L_r$  to a random variable with finite rth absolute moment. In this note we consider the case of a family of martingales each adapted to the same increasing family of  $\sigma$ -fields and give a condition on the family which will assure under bounded conditions on the martingales that the convergence given by the martingale convergence theorem is uniform in the family. We obtain uniform  $L_1$  convergence for arbitrary families and uniform a.s. convergence for countable families. The a.s. convergence was proven for a slightly different case in [4] and the  $L_1$ -convergence is obtained from a suggestion made by the referee of that paper.

Throughout we will be working in a fixed probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P)$  and all  $\sigma$ -fields will be sub  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If A and B are sets  $A \triangle B$  will denote the symmetric difference of A and B, i.e.,  $A \triangle B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ . The expected value of a random variable will be denoted by E, the conditional expectation given a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathfrak{C}$  by  $E(\cdot \mid \mathfrak{C})$  and the condition probability given  $\mathfrak{C}$  by  $P(\cdot \mid \mathfrak{C})$ . The conditional entropy of a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathfrak{C}$  given a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathfrak{C}$  is denoted by  $H(\mathfrak{C} \mid \mathfrak{C})$  and is defined to be

$$\sup \left\{ E[-\sum_{\mathit{F} \in \mathit{F}} P(\mathit{F} \mid \mathit{C}) \log P(\mathit{F} \mid \mathit{C})] \right\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions  $\mathfrak{F}$  of  $\Omega$  into sets from  $\alpha$ . For properties of  $H(\alpha \mid \mathfrak{C})$  one may consult Jacobs [2] or Billingsley [1].

DEFINITION 1. Let I be an index set and for each i in I let  $\{X_n^i : n \ge 0\}$  be a sequence of random variables. We say that  $\{X_n^i\}$   $L_r$ -converges uniformly in i to  $X^i$  provided that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $N(\epsilon)$  such that for all  $n \ge N(\epsilon)$  sup  ${}_iE\{|X_n^i - X^i|^r\} < \epsilon$ . We say that  $\{X_n^i\}$  a.s. converges uniformly in i to  $X^i$  provided that there exists a set Z of probability zero such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $w \not\in Z$ , there exists an integer  $N(\epsilon, w)$  such that  $\sup_i |X_n^i(w) - X^i(w)| < \epsilon$ . We shall denote these types of convergences respectively by  $X_n^i \to X^i$  [ $L_r$  unif i] and  $X_n^i \to X^i$  [a.s. unif i].

LEMMA 1. Let  $\{\Omega_n\}$  denote an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -fields and  $\Omega$  denote the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\bigcup_n \Omega_n$ . If for some n,  $H(\Omega \mid \Omega_n) < \infty$  then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an integer N such that for all  $A \in \Omega$ , there exists an event  $B \in \Omega_N$  such that  $P(A \triangle B) < \epsilon$ .

PROOF. Since  $H(\alpha \mid \alpha_n) < \infty$  for some n,  $\lim_n H(\alpha \mid \alpha_n) = H(\alpha \mid \alpha) = 0$ 

Received 12 August 1968.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by ARO Grant 662.