A SHORT PROOF OF STECK'S RESULT ON TWO-SAMPLE **SMIRNOV STATISTICS**

By S. G. MOHANTY

McMaster University

Let there be two independent random samples of sizes m and n respectively from a continuous population. Let R_i denote the ranks of the first sample in the ordered combined sample. Suppose $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ and $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$ are two increasing sequences of integers such that $i-1 \le b_i \le c_i \le n+i+1$. Denote by N(b; c) the number of ways the event $\{b_i < R_i < c_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ can occur in the ordered combined sample. It is well recognized that N(b; c) determines the null distribution of Smirnov statistics in the two-sample case. In a recent paper [1], Steck has established that

$$(1) N(b;c) = \det(d_{ij})_{m \times m}$$

where

$$d_{ij} = {c_i - b_j + j - i - 1 \choose j - i + 1}_+.$$

$${y \choose z}_+ = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad z \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y < z \quad \text{or if} \quad z < 0$$

Here

His proof, which is long and difficult to follow, consists of checking that the determinant satisfies the recurrence relations and boundary conditions required by N(b; c). In this note, we provide a direct elementary proof.

Let
$$T_i = R_i - i$$
, $u_i = b_i - i + 1$ and $v_i = c_i - i - 1$. Then $\{b_i < R_i < c_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\} \Leftrightarrow \{u_i \le T_i \le v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$

if z=0.

and therefore N(b; c) represent the number of vectors (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) which satisfies the following:

- (i) x_i 's are integers,
- (ii) $0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_m \le n$, (iii) $u_i \le x_i \le v_i$ $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Clearly, we can write

(2)
$$N(b;c) = \sum_{x_1=u_1}^{v_1} \sum_{x_2=v_2}^{v_2} \cdots \sum_{x_{m-1}=v_{m-1}}^{v_{m-1}} \sum_{x_m=v_m}^{v_m} 1$$

with $y_i = \max(u_i, x_{i-1}), i = 2, 3, \dots, m$. Note that

$$\begin{vmatrix}
 \begin{pmatrix} x_{m_0}^{-u_m} \end{pmatrix}_{+} & \begin{pmatrix} x_{m-1}^{-u_m} \end{pmatrix}_{+} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-u_m} \end{pmatrix}_{+} \\
 0 & \begin{pmatrix} x_{m-1}^{-u_{m-1}} \end{pmatrix}_{+} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-u_m} \end{pmatrix}_{+} \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-u_m} \end{pmatrix}_{+} \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-u_m} \end{pmatrix}_{+} \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & 0 & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-u_n} \end{pmatrix}_{+}
\end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

Received May 25, 1970; revised September 2, 1970.