

A VARIATIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FINITE MARKOV CHAINS

BY FRANK SPITZER

Cornell University

Summary. We prove a one-dimensional version of a theorem of O. E. Lanford and D. Ruelle [4] in equilibrium statistical mechanics which characterizes Gibbs states as those states which minimize the free energy. It is shown that a stationary Markov process is the most random (has the large stentropy) among all strictly stationary processes with the same energy. This energy is based on a potential U which determines the transition matrix M of the Markov chain with minimal free energy.

Let \mathcal{T} be the class of *translation invariant states* (strictly stationary processes) defined as follows. The statement $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$ will mean that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a probability space, with $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, \mathcal{F} is the σ -field generated by the cylinder sets of Ω , and μ is a countably additive probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) which is invariant under the shift T which is defined by $(T\omega)_k = \omega_{k+1}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If I_N is the cylinder $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}^{[1, 2, \dots, N]}$, then the cylinder set probabilities will be denoted

$$\mu_N(i) = \mu\{\omega : \omega_1 = i_1, \dots, \omega_N = i_N\}, i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N) \in I_N, N \geq 1.$$

For each $\mu \in \mathcal{T}$ and each $N \geq 1$ we define the entropy (randomness in $[1, N]$) by

$$S_N(\mu) = - \sum_{i \in I_N} \mu_N(i) \log \mu_N(i),$$

with convention $0 \log 0 = 0$. Clearly $0 \leq S_N(\mu) \leq N \log N$, and it is known (the proof goes just as in 7.2.3. of [5] in the case $n = 2$) that the *specific entropy*

$$(1) \quad s(\mu) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} S_N(\mu), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{T}$$

exists and is an *affine, upper semi-continuous* function on \mathcal{T} (in the vague topology of \mathcal{T} as a subset of the continuous positive linear functionals on $C(\Omega)$).

Now we introduce a nearest neighbor pair *potential* $U(i, j)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, to be thought of as an energy of interaction between ω_k and ω_{k+1} when $\omega_k = i$, and $\omega_{k+1} = j$. Then the average energy in an interval $[1, N]$ is

$$E_N(\mu) = \sum_{i \in I_N} \mu_N(i) \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} U(i_k, i_{k+1}).$$

Clearly the limit

$$(2) \quad e_U(\mu) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} E_N(\mu) = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \mu_2(r, s) U(r, s)$$

Received June 3, 1971.