## ON BAHADUR EFFICIENCY OF THE JOINT-RANKING PROCEDURE<sup>1</sup>

## By K. L. MEHRA

## The University of Alberta

1. Introduction. Consider the problem of testing the equality of several, say K, treatments on the basis of paired-observations, viz.  $(X_{il}, X_{jl})$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \cdots$ ,  $N_{ij}$   $(1 \le i < j \le K)$  obtained by  $N_{ij}$  independent paired-comparisons for each pair (i,j) of treatments. If we assume that the  $N_{ij}$  differences  $Z_l^{(i,j)} = X_{il} - X_{jl}$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, N_{ij}$  have a common continuous cdf  $G_{ij}(z)$   $(1 \le i < j \le K)$ , the hypothesis of no-difference among the treatments can be formally expressed as

$$H_0$$
:  $G_{ij}(z)+G_{ij}(-z)=1$  and  $G_{ij}(z)=G_{i'j'}(z)$  for any two pairs  $(i,j)$  and  $(i',j')$ .

In [7] Mehra and in [8] Mehra and Puri had proposed and investigated a family of rank-order tests for the above problem based on a generalization of the Wilcoxon-one-sample ranking procedure: Let  $R_{N,l}^{(i,j)}$  denote the rank of  $|Z_l^{(i,j)}|$  when the  $N=\sum_{i=1}^{K-1}\sum_{j>i}N_{ij}$  absolute values of the observed differences  $Z_l^{(i,j)}$ ,  $l=1,2,\cdots,N_{ij},\ (1\leq i< j\leq K)$  are arranged in ascending order of magnitude in a combined ranking. For a given set of rank-scores  $\xi_{N,\alpha}, \alpha=1,2,\cdots,N$ , define a step function  $\xi_N(u)$  over (0,1), with  $\xi_N(u)=\xi_{N,\alpha}=\xi_N(\alpha/(N+1))$  for  $(a-1)/N< u\leq \alpha/N, \alpha=1,2,\cdots,N$  and set

$$(1.1) V_N^{(i,j)} = \sum_{l=1}^{Nij} \xi_N(R_{N,l}^{(i,j)}/(N+1)) \operatorname{sign} Z_l^{(i,j)}.$$

Assume further the existence of a function  $\xi(u)$ , 0 < u < 1, such that

and

(1.3) 
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_0^1 \{\xi_N(u) - \xi(u)\}^2 du = 0.$$

For testing the hypothesis  $H_0$ , rank-order statistics of the form

(1.4) 
$$L_N = L_N(\xi_N, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^K \{ \sum_{j \neq i} (V_N^{(i,j)} / (N_{ij})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \}^2 / (\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \xi_{N,\alpha}^2) K$$

(with the test consisting in rejecting  $H_0$  when  $L_N$  is too large) were considered in [8]. It was shown that if the hypothesis  $H_0$  were true and the conditions (1.2) and (1.3) were satisfied,  $L_N$  is distributed in the limit, as  $N \to \infty$ , as a  $\chi^2$ -variable with (K-1) df provided  $\lim_{N\to\infty} (N_{ij}/N) = \eta_{ij} > 0$  for all (i,j), and that against shift alternatives its asymptotic Pitman-efficiency relative to the normal theory

1155

www.jstor.org

Received February 4, 1970; revised December 1971.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Prepared with the partial support of the National Research Council of Canada Grant No. A-3061.