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By J. Michael Harrison<br>The Annals of Applied Probability (2000) 10 75-103

The paper above contains a substantial error, as shown by example in Section 7.1 of [2]. To correct this error one needs to add a restriction on the data of the model being analyzed, thus making explicit an assumption that is implicit in the original development. To enable a precise statement of that additional assumption, the next paragraph reviews some of the paper's notation and set-up.

Let $r, m$ and $n$ be positive integers, $R$ an $m \times n$ input-output matrix, $A$ a nonnegative $r \times n$ capacity consumption matrix, and $\lambda$ an $m$-vector of exogenous input rates. All of the paper's formal propositions relate, at least implicitly, to the following linear program, called the static planning problem (here displays are numbered exactly as in the original paper, for ease of reference): choose a scalar $\rho$ and an $n$-dimensional column vector $x$ of average activity rates so as to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \rho \\
\text { subject to } & R x=\lambda, \quad A x \leq \rho e \quad \text { and } \quad x \geq 0, \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
$$

where $e$ is the $m$-vector of ones. The dual of (2.1)-(2.2), or to be more precise, one formulation of its dual, is as follows: choose an $m$-dimensional row vector $\mu$ and $r$-dimensional row vector $\pi$ so as to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{maximize} & \mu \lambda \\
\text { subject to } & \mu R \leq \pi A, \quad \pi e=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \pi \geq 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{array}
$$

In the primal problem (2.1)-(2.2) one interprets $\lambda_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ as the average rate at which a system manager is obliged to consume input $i$, or the average rate at which type $i$ input must be processed. On page 80 of the paper under discussion, the vector $\lambda$ is assumed to be nonnegative and nontrivial, but the nonnegativity is never actually used in the ensuing development; if $\lambda_{i}$ were negative one could interpret its absolute value as the average rate at which the system manager is obliged to supply material $i$.

To articulate the additional assumption referred to earlier, let us define the polyhedral cone

$$
V=\left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: R x=v \text { for some } x \geq 0\right\} .
$$

