Probability Surveys Vol. 15 (2018) 28–101 ISSN: 1549-5787 https://doi.org/10.1214/17-PS293

Topics in loop measures and the loop-erased walk^{*}

Gregory F. Lawler

Department of Mathematics University of Chicago Chicago, IL 60637-1546 e-mail: lawler@math.uchicago.edu

Received November 2017.

1. Introduction

This is a collection of notes based on a course that I gave at the University of Chicago in fall, 2016 on "Loop measures and the loop-erased random walk". This was not intended to be a comprehensive view of the topics but instead a personal selection of some key ideas including some recent results. This course was to be followed by a course on the Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE) so there was some emphasis on the ideas that have become important in the study of conformally invariant processes. I will first give some history of the main results I discuss here; this can be considered a personal perspective of the developments of (some of the) ideas. I will follow with a summary of the topics in this paper.

1.1. Some history

I started looking at the loop-erased random walk in my thesis [10] spurred by a suggestion by my advisor, Ed Nelson. My original motivation had been to try to understand the self-avoiding walk. Soon in the investigation, I found out two things: the bad news was that this process was different than the self-avoiding walk, but the good news was that it was a very interesting process with many of the attributes of other models in critical phenomena. In particular, there was an upper critical dimension (in this case d = 4) and (conjecturally) conformal invariance in two dimensions. My thesis handled the easiest case d > 4. The four dimensional case was significantly harder; I did not have the chance to discuss this in this course even though there is some recent work on the subject [19].

The interest in loop-erased random walk increased when the relationship between it and uniform spanning trees was discovered [23, 25]. I believe there were several independent discoveries of this; one thing I know is that I was not one of the people involved! I found out about it from Robin Pemantle who was trying to construct the infinite spanning tree and forest. He was able to use my results combined with the Aldous-Broder algorithm to show that the limit of the uniform spanning tree was a tree for d = 4 and a forest for d > 4. I discuss

^{*}Research supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1513036