

To get a lower bound on the second term in (A.1), we use the fact that  $\inf(R_{**}^{-1}) = \|R_{**}\|^{-1}$ , from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_{**}^{-1}r_{*p}\| &\geq \inf(R_{**}^{-1})\|r_{*p}\| \\ &= \|R_{**}\|^{-1}\|r_{*p}\| \\ &\geq \|R_{**}\|_F^{-1}\|r_{*p}\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since the columns of  $R_{**}$  have norm one,  $\|R_{**}\|_F^2 = p - 1$  and  $\kappa_p^{-2} = \rho_{pp}^2 = 1 - \|r_{*p}\|^2$ . Hence

$$(A.3) \quad \|R_{**}^{-1}r_{*p}\|^2 \geq \frac{1 - \kappa_p^2}{p - 1}.$$

Combining (A.1), (A.2), and (A.3) we get

$$(p - 1)\max_{i \neq j} \kappa_i^2 \geq \sum_{i \neq j} \kappa_i^2 \geq p - 1 + \frac{\kappa_p^2 - 1}{p - 1},$$

which is equivalent to (4.4).

## REFERENCES

- ANDERSON, T. W. (1984). Estimating linear statistical relationships. *Ann. Statist.* **12** 1–45.
- BEATON, A. E., RUBIN, D. B. and BARONE, J. L. (1976). The acceptability of regression solutions: another look at computational accuracy. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **71** 158–168.
- BELSLY, D. A. (1984a). Demeaning conditioning diagnostics through centering (with discussion). *Amer. Statist.* **38** 73–93.
- BELSLY, D. A., KUH, E. and WELSCH, R. E. (1980). *Regression Diagnostics*. Wiley, New York.
- DANIEL, C. and WOOD F. S. (1980). *Fitting Equations to Data*, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York.
- DAVID, N. A. and STEWART, G. W. (1982). Significance testing in a functional model. Technical Report 1204, Dept. Computer Science, Univ. Maryland.
- DAVIES, R. B. and HUTTON, B. (1975). The effects of errors in the independent variables in linear regression. *Biometrika* **62** 383–391.
- DONGARRA, J. J., BUNCH, J. R., MOLER, C. B. and STEWART, G. W. (1979). *The LINPACK User's Guide*. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- ECKART, G. and YOUNG, G. (1936). The approximation of one matrix by another of lower rank. *Psychometrika* **1** 211–218.
- GAUSS, C. F. (1821). *Theoria Combinationis Observationum Erroribus Minims Obnoxiae: Pars Prior*. In *Werke* 4. Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, 1880.
- GOLUB, G. H., HOFFMAN, A. and STEWART, G. W. (1984). A generalization of Eckhart-Young-Mirsky matrix approximation theorem. To appear in *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*.
- GOLUB, G. H. and VAN LOAN, C. F. (1983). *Matrix Computations*. Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, Md.
- GOLUB, G. H. and WILKINSON, J. H. (1966). Note on the iterative refinement of least squares solution. *Numer. Math.* **9** 139–148.
- HODGES, S. D. and MOORE, P. G. (1972). Data uncertainties and least squares regression. *Appl. Statist.* **21** 185–195.
- MARQUARDT, D. W. (1970). Generalized inverses, ridge regression, biased linear estimation, and nonlinear regression. *Technometrics* **12** 591–613.
- MIRSKY, L. (1960). Symmetric gauge functions and unitarily invariant norms. *Quart. J. Math.* **11** 50–59.
- SEBER, G. A. F. (1977). *Linear Regression Analysis*. Wiley, New York.
- STEWART, G. W. (1974). *Introduction to Matrix Computations*. Academic, New York.
- STEWART, G. W. (1977). On the perturbation of pseudo-inverses, projections, and linear least squares problems. *SIAM Rev.* **19** 634–666.
- STEWART, G. W. (1983). A nonlinear version of Gauss's minimum variance theorem with applications to an errors-in-the-variables model. Technical Report TR 1263, Dept. Computer Science, Univ. Maryland.
- STEWART, G. W. (1984). Rank degeneracy. *SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput.* **5** 403–413.
- SWINDEL, B. F. and BOWER, D. R. (1972). Rounding errors in the independent variables in a general model. *Technometrics* **14** 215–218.
- TURING, A. M. (1948). Rounding-off errors in matrix processes. *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **1** 287–308.
- VAN DER SLUIS, A. (1969). Condition numbers and equilibration of matrices. *Numer. Math.* **14** 14–23.
- WILKINSON, J. H. (1963). *Rounding Errors in Algebraic Processes*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- WOODS, H., STEINOUR, H. H. and STARKE, H. R. (1932). Effect of composition of Portland cement on heat evolved during hardening. *Indust. Engrg. Chem.* **24** 1207–1214.

## Comment

**Donald W. Marquardt**

Statisticians and numerical analysts owe a large debt of gratitude to Dr. Stewart for his demonstration and lucid exposition of the mathematical connection between the condition number and the parameter variance inflation factors. In doing so, he has also

*Donald W. Marquardt is Consultant Manager, Applied Statistics Group, Engineering Service Division, E. I. Du Pont De Nemours & Company, Wilmington, Delaware 19898.*

clarified the reasons why the condition number is not really helpful in the multiple regression context, nor in many other contexts. The insights he provides in this paper are important for all statisticians, because collinearity problems occur in many statistical contexts, including multiple linear regression, nonlinear regression, unbalanced analysis of variance, and estimation from inverse integral transform models. In this brief commentary I have selected three facets of Dr. Stewart's paper for discussion.

